

Motivating Politicians:

The Impacts of Monetary Incentives on Quality and Performance*

Claudio Ferraz[†]

IPEA

Frederico Finan[‡]

UCLA

April 2008

Abstract

Recent studies have emphasized the importance of the quality of politicians for good government and consequently economic performance. But if the quality of leadership matters, then understanding what motivates individuals to become politicians and perform competently in office becomes a central question. In this paper, we examine whether higher wages attract better quality politicians and improve political performance using exogenous variation in the salaries of local legislators across Brazil's municipal governments. The analysis exploits discontinuities in wages across municipalities induced by a constitutional amendment defining caps on the salary of local legislatures according to municipal population. Our main findings show that increases in salaries not only attracts more candidates, but more educated ones. Elected officials are more experienced and have more years of schooling. Higher salaries also increase legislative productivity as measured by the number of bills submitted and approved, and the provision of public goods.

Key words: politician salary, quality, political agency.

JEL: D72, D78, J33.

*We thank Simon Board, Miguel Foguel, Jinyong Hahn, Duncan Thomas, and seminar participants at Duke University, EPGE-FGV, IPEA-Rio, Pacific Development Conference, PUC-Rio, University of Southern California, and USP for helpful comments and suggestions. We are grateful to Diana Bello, Marcio Nery, Julia Ramos, and Livia Schneider for excellent research assistance, and to Telma Venturelli for providing the legislative census data.

[†]Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada, Av. Presidente Antonio Carlos 51, 17o andar. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 20020-010, Brasil. Email: cferraz@ipea.gov.br

[‡]Department of Economics, Bunche Hall 9365, UCLA, Box 951477 Los Angeles, CA 90095-1477. Email: ffinan@econ.ucla.edu; and IZA

1 Introduction

Governments that secure property rights, regulate entry less, and curb corruption are thought to create the right incentives for economies to prosper.¹ But while the virtues of good government for economic development and growth are widely acknowledged, what determines the quality of government is much less clear. One predominant view in the literature argues that political institutions that restrict rent-seeking and promote electoral accountability shape the necessary incentives for good policy-making. However, political institutions can only partially explain the variation in the quality of government both across countries and over time.² A complementary view is that the quality of policy-making depends on the honesty and competence of the political class (Besley (2006)). Recent empirical evidence suggests that leaders play an important role in enacting the right policies and affecting economic performance (Besley, Persson, and Sturm 2007; Jones and Olken 2005). But if the characteristics of policy-makers matter, then it is important to understand what attracts high quality politicians into office and what provides them with the incentives to perform according to voters preferences.

Politicians have a variety of motivations for holding public office. Some derive personal satisfaction from being in power or experience an intrinsic benefit based on a sense of civic duty; others desire to implement their preferred policies because of ideology or to satisfy special interest groups.³ For many, however, monetary rewards are the principal motivation. In effect, a growing theoretical literature has shown that increases in monetary incentives affect both the type of politician that run for office as well as their performance. Yet, in contrast to the standard efficiency wage model, which justifies higher levels of compensation as a way to align incentives and encourage positive

¹See North (1981); De Long and Schleifer (2003); Knack and Keefer (1995).

²In their account of the success story of Botswana, Acemoglu, Johnson, and Robinson (2003) conjecture that good institutions played an important role in Botswana's performance. Nevertheless, they also attribute a key role to "a number of important and farsighted decisions by the post-independence political leaders, in particular Seretse Khama and Quett Masire".

³Witman (1977), Calvert (1985), and Alesina (1988) provide examples of models where politicians are motivated by the desire to influence policy. The question of whether intrinsic motivations affect political behavior has received a lot of recent attention. For instance Besley and Ghatak (2005) develop a model where incentives depend on the extent to which agents agree with the cause. Callander (2007) use a game-theoretical model of electoral competition to distinguish between office-motivated politicians versus policy-motivated politicians. Dal Bó, Dal Bó, and Di Tella (2006) provide a model where legal institutions and violence affect incentives of individuals to enter public office. Besley (2006) and Persson and Tabellini (2000) provide excellent discussions of these various models of political motivations.

selection into firms, the benefits of increasing monetary rewards are not as clear in a political setting where monitoring and accountability may be weak. For instance, using a citizen-candidate model, Caselli and Morelli (2004) show that the competence of the elected body is increasing in the political rewards from office. An opposite prediction emerges from the Matozzi and Merlo (2007) where an increase in the salary a politician receives while in office decreases the average quality of individuals who become politicians. Thus, the question is ultimately an empirical one.

In this paper, we use exogenous variation in the salaries of local politicians across Brazil's municipal governments to study the effects of wages on political selection and performance. In particular, we examine whether salaries affect who enters politics, the quality of elected politicians, and their legislative performance. We overcome two existing obstacles to identify these effects. First, previous studies have had to limit their analysis to elected politicians, which is a selected group with unobserved skills (e.g. ability, valence) that may not only affect their probability of winning but also their performance while in office.⁴ We gather data on all candidates that ran for office, and thus we are able estimate the effects of wages on the number of candidates and their characteristics. Second, and more importantly, wages are not set randomly, but often by the politicians themselves, which introduces several identification concerns. Politicians that perform better may be able to demand higher wages (Di Tella and Fisman 2004). We address this identification issue by exploiting a quasi-experimental source of variation in local legislators' salaries. A 2000 constitutional amendment introduced a cap on the maximum salary that could be paid to local legislators. This cap, which varies according to the municipality's population, induces discontinuities in wages across municipalities. We use these discontinuities to estimate the causal effects of salaries on political selection and performance using a two-stage least squares estimator motivated by the fuzzy regression-discontinuity design (Campbell 1969; Van Der Klaauw 2002).

Our findings indicate that increases in the salary of legislators not only attract more individuals to run for political office, but also attracts more educated ones. A 10 percent increase in wages increases political competition by 0.13 candidates per seat and the share of candidates with a high school degree by 1.5 percent. Moreover, these effects are not limited to the pool of candidates.

⁴Existing studies do not have information on political candidates, only on those elected for office. See for example Besley (2004) and Diermeier, Keane, and Merlo (2005).

Higher salaries also affect the composition of politicians that get elected. Among municipalities that offer higher salaries, the legislative body is more educated and has more political experience. A 10 percent increase in wage increases the share of legislators with more than 3 terms of experience by 0.12 percentage points

In addition to these effects on political selection, we also find that salaries affect political performance. Legislators can influence local policy-making by submitting bills (formal requests for project that are then passed into law) and petitions (requests for targeted public works). We find that higher wages increase both the number of bills submitted by the legislators and those approved, as well as, the likelihood of existing legislative commissions – a measure internal organization of the legislature. Moreover, higher salaries also increase the number of health clinics, the number of schools and improve school infrastructure.

While these effects on legislative performance are consistent with a political agency model, where changes in the value of holding office affects political behavior, it is difficult to separate out this effect from a selection effect. We do however provide suggestive evidence that the increase in legislative productivity is not entirely driven by the positive selection of politicians. Instead, our results indicate that legislators do put effort in policy-making due to an increase in the future value of holding office.

This paper presents the first empirical evidence that exploits exogenous variation in politicians' wage to identify its effects on political selection and performance. Existing studies have simulated the effects of politician wages using structural models. Diermeier, Keane, and Merlo (2005) estimate a dynamic model of career decisions of U.S. congressmen to quantify the returns to a career in congress. The paper shows that a 20 percent increase in the wages of House members increases the likelihood of running for re-election from 91.2 percent to 94.2 percent. Using the same framework, Keane and Merlo (2007) examines the effects of a 20 percent reduction in salaries. This policy simulation leads to not only a 14 percent reduction in the average duration of congressional careers, but also induces skilled politicians to exit disproportionately more. These findings are consistent with our results that higher wages decrease turnover and increase the education level of the legislature. Our paper complements these empirical studies in several ways. It examines the effects

of wages, not only political selection, but also on candidate entry. With data only on members of Congress, these previous studies cannot evaluate the effects of wages on the composition of the pool of potential candidates. Moreover, our study also focuses on legislative productivity and the provision of public goods.

Our results lend further empirical support for the citizen-candidate models of Besley and Coate (1997) and Osborne and Slivinski (1996), which highlight the importance of politicians' identity for policy choices.⁵ Our results are thus consistent with Besley, Pande, and Rao (2005), who use data from Indian villages and show that education increases the chances of selection to public office and reduces politicians' opportunism. Our paper is also related to a large body of work in political agency models that focus on the role of electoral accountability in disciplining incumbent politicians.⁶ Our findings suggest that increases in wages are likely to make incumbent politicians more accountable because it makes the value of holding office in the future higher. Politicians respond by increasing their legislative effort in order to boost their chances of re-election.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a theoretical framework that will help in interpreting our empirical findings. Section 3 provides the institutional background and describes the data used for the analysis. Section 4 presents the empirical strategy, followed by the results shown in section 5 and the conclusions in section 6.

2 Theoretical Framework

In this section, we summarize the existing theoretical literature that builds on the citizen-candidate model (Besley and Coate (1997) and Osborne and Slivinski (1996)) to analyze the effects of monetary incentives on the average quality of politicians. Moreover, because the citizen-candidate model focuses only on political entry, we summarize the use of political agency model to analyze how wages might affect the behavior of incumbent politicians. We then present a simple model that generates predictions on how changes in politicians' salaries affect both selection and behavior.

⁵See Lee, Moretti, and Butler (2004) and Chattopadhyay and Dufflo (2004) for empirical evidence in support of these models.

⁶See Barro (1970) and Ferejohn (1986) for original work focusing exclusively on hidden actions. More recently, Besley (2006) and Smart and Sturm (2006) build models with both unobserved types and actions. Empirical evidence is provided by Besley and Case (1995) and Ferraz and Finan (2007).

2.1 Related Literature

2.1.1 Citizens' Quality and Political Selection

The basic theoretical framework used to study the decision to enter politics is the citizen-candidate model [Besley and Coate (1997); Osborne and Slivinski (1996)]. In this class of models, citizens decide whether or not to run for public office in an environment where running for office is costly and candidates cannot fully commit to policy implementation. Without full commitment, candidate heterogeneity in preferences ultimately determines the policy that is then implemented. Recent models have adopted this framework to understand how differences in the quality of citizens, as opposed to preferences, might affect policy choices.⁷

Caselli and Morelli (2004) present a model where individuals differ with respect to their quality as politicians.⁸ The population is composed of high and low ability individuals. High ability individuals have better policymaking skills and are also more productive in the private sector. Voters, however, do not observe the quality of the candidates, but do receive a signal from each candidate that has a probability larger than 0.5 of being correct. Candidates cannot control the signal they emit, but know their signal before deciding to run for office. In equilibrium, voters will only select high-signal candidates. Low-ability citizens who happen to emit a high signal will require a lower remuneration to run for office because their outside options are lower. As the monetary returns from office decrease, high quality individuals are less likely to run and the proportion of low quality-high signal candidates increases. Thus this model predicts that the competence of the elected body is increasing in the political rewards from office.

In a related paper, Messner and Polborn (2004) also use a citizen-candidate framework to analyze the effect of remuneration on political entry. Their model generates, however, a different comparative static result. The expected quality of candidates may decrease as the benefits of holding office increase. With higher wages, more individuals enter politics thus increasing the incentive for more-competent candidates to free-ride on the other candidates and thus not run for

⁷In their original, Besley and Coate (1997) present an example where candidates differ with respect to policy-making abilities. Their focus, however, is on the Pareto Optimality of the political equilibrium rather than the resulting quality of government.

⁸The term quality is used in most of these models as the ability to provide public goods at low costs. Caselli and Morelli (2004) emphasize that quality is mostly determined by two factors: competence and honesty.

office.

A similar result is obtained by Matozzi and Merlo (2007) using a dynamic equilibrium overlapping generations model. In their model politicians are heterogeneous with respect to market ability and political skills. They assume that while individuals can detect their political skills early in life, discovering market ability entails some experimentation (this assumption allows them to focus on a signaling game with only one dimension). In the first period of life, individuals decide whether to become politicians or enter the private sector. If they become politicians, their political skill becomes public information. In the second period, politicians decide whether to remain in politics, or move to the private sector. The market ability is then revealed with a positive probability (less than one). In their model, an increase in the salary of politicians induces two effects: an entry effect, which affects the average quality of persons that become politicians, and a retention effect given by the turnover in the political sector. An increase in the return to the political profession makes it more attractive compared to private sector activities. Hence lower quality individuals enter the political sector lowering the average quality of entering politicians. In addition, it also increases the second-period earnings relative to the market wage making it more desirable for politicians to stay in office for a second-term instead of moving to the private sector.

While these papers provide interesting insights into the effects of wages on political selection, they do not provide any predictions on how wages will affect the behavior of politicians once in office. Political agency models provide a useful framework to understand these additional effects.

2.1.2 Honesty, Quality, and Political Behavior

Besley (2004) examines the effects of wages on the selection and behavior of politicians using a political agency model where voters are unable to observe either the politician's type or his actions.⁹ In the model, there are two-types of politicians: congruent and dissonant politicians. Congruent politicians always act in accordance with voters' objectives, whereas dissonant politicians receive additional rents from taking an action that is different from voter's preferred action. But, as Besley (2004) shows, given the possibility of re-election, as the value of holding office increases, dissonant

⁹See Banks and Sundaram (1993) for an early agency model with both adverse selection and moral hazard.

politicians are much more likely to refrain from rent-seeking and behave according to voters' preferences. Hence, this model predicts that an increase in remuneration increases average politician's performance (as dissonant politicians take voters' preferred action) and, thus also decreases turnover of incumbent politicians.

Besley (2004) also generates predictions about the effects of monetary incentives on political selection. Given that congruent politicians always take the voters' preferred action and will get re-elected for doing so, they will run for office as long as the salary is at least as high as their outside option (the private sector wage). For dissonant politicians, the value of entering politics includes both the remuneration and the expected gains from taking the dissonant action. Among dissonant politicians, those with higher salaries in the private sector are less likely to take the congruent action. This is because the value of holding office in the future (if reelected) is lower. The model predicts that as the wages of politicians increase, the quality of politicians in the pool improves and the fraction of elected congruent politicians also increases.

One of the main differences between the Besley (2004) model and those cited above lies in the source of heterogeneity among candidates. While the citizen-candidate models focus on differences in competency (good versus bad politicians), Besley (2004) emphasizes congruency which is more related to the honesty dimension of candidate quality. When the model is extended to incorporate competency, the average level of competency in the population is not necessarily increasing in wages. Instead, there are two competing effects as remuneration increases: the quality of participants from each group increases (more competent congruent and dissonant politicians enter the pool), and entry by relatively less competent politicians in the congruent group. The net effect of wages on the average quality of politicians depends on which effect dominates.

2.2 The Model

Consider an infinite horizon economy comprised of a finite number of citizens, who are heterogeneous in their ability θ . An individual's ability is private information, but the distribution of ability across the population, $F(\bar{\theta}, \sigma_\theta)$, is common knowledge. Each individual has preferences over their income y and a policy outcome g , according to a well-behaved quasi-linear utility function $U(y, g) = y + H(g)$.

The policy outcome g is set by the elected official as:

$$g = \theta + e,$$

where e is the effort level put forth by the elected official. Effort is costly in terms of disutility and measured according to the following cost function: $\psi(e, \theta)$, which is increasing in effort but decreasing in ability.

Each individual can run for public office, and if elected will experience the following per-period utility while in office:

$$U^p(e, \theta) = w_p + H(g(e, \theta)) - \psi(e, \theta)$$

where w_p is the politician's wage. Individuals who were not elected for public office work in the private sector earning a wage $w_m(\theta)$ which is increasing in θ , i.e. $w_m(\theta_i) > w_m(\theta_j)$ for $\theta_i > \theta_j$. Thus their ex-ante utility in the private sector is:

$$U^m(\theta) = w_m(\theta) + \int_A H(g(e(\theta), \theta)) dF(\theta)$$

where A is set of individuals who run for office. Assuming that there is a probability π of getting elected as a non-incumbent, in any given period an individual with ability θ will enter politics if:

$$\pi \left[w_p - w_m(\theta) + H(g(e(\theta), \theta)) - \psi(e(\theta), \theta) - \int_A H(g(e(\theta), \theta)) dF(\theta) \right] \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

Note that because an individual's opportunity cost of entering politics increases with ability, if an individual of type $\hat{\theta}$ is willing to participate then every individual of ability $\theta \leq \hat{\theta}$ is also willing to enter politics.

The timing of events is as follows. In every period an incumbent runs for office knowing both his type and the effort provided in producing public goods. In addition, a set of citizen decide to become candidates before observing the level of public goods g . The level of public goods becomes publicly known and an election is held where all individuals cast their votes. Candidates vote for themselves, whereas non-candidate vote according to a voting rule r . As in Alesina and

Tabellini (2004), Ferejohn (1986), we assume that citizens vote retrospectively and will re-elect the incumbent if their utility exceeds a threshold \bar{G} . To set this threshold, voters understand that the alternative to re-electing the incumbent is to randomly select another politician from the pool of candidates, and require that he exert an effort level that makes the individual indifferent between seeking re-election or exiting politics. Thus, the voting rule will be as follows:

$$r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \geq g(\hat{e}, \theta) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where \hat{e} is equilibrium level of effort that will leave an individual of average ability indifferent between seek re-election or entering the private sector.

To examine the level of effort that incumbents exert while in office, let \bar{e} denote the amount of effort an incumbent of type θ must exert in order to provide \bar{G} . Let e^* denote the optimal level of effort an incumbent would exert in a single period without re-election concerns. Thus given this reelection rule, an incumbent will exert enough effort to be re-elected if:

$$\frac{w_p + H(g(\bar{e}, \theta)) - \psi(\bar{e}, \theta)}{1 - \delta} \geq w_p + H(g(e^*, \theta)) - \psi(e^*, \theta) + \frac{\delta}{1 - \delta} U^m \quad (2)$$

where δ is the discount rate.¹⁰ Equation 2 can be rearranged in order to express a net utility as a function of ability, i.e. $\tilde{U}(\theta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}(\theta) &= [\delta w_p + (H(g(\bar{e}, \theta)) - H(g(e^*, \theta))) - (\psi(\bar{e}, \theta) - \psi(e^*, \theta))] \\ &\quad - \delta [U^m + H(g(e^*, \theta)) - \psi(e^*, \theta)] \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1 compares the value of holding office to the value of remaining in the private sector, assuming that the politician exerts at least the minimum level of effort required to produce \bar{G} . Given that $\tilde{U}(\theta)$ is concave in θ , we can separate individuals into four regions, as depicted in Figure 1.¹¹

¹⁰This model assumes that once a politician leaves office, he can no longer return to politics.

¹¹ $\tilde{U}(\theta)$ is concave because of the concavity of H .

1. For individuals with $\theta \leq A1$, the effort required to get re-elected is too costly and $\tilde{U}(\theta) < 0$ (see Panel A). These individuals, if elected, will simply exert effort level e^* and enter the private sector in the next period (see panel B).
2. For individuals with $\theta \in (A1, A2]$, the benefits of office are greater than those in the private sector, i.e. $\tilde{U}(\theta) > 0$. These politicians will exert just enough effort to set public goods equal to \bar{G} and get re-elected. As depicted in Panel B, effort will decline with ability up to $A2$.
3. For individuals with $\theta \in (A2, A3]$, the benefits of additional public goods will exceed the costs and thus will provide a level of public goods above \bar{G} , (i.e. will exert effort above the minimum need for re-election).
4. For individuals with $\theta > A3$, politics is too costly to enter.

The Effects of Wages on Political Selection and Performance

As the model illustrates, the wages politicians receive play an important role in attracting candidates and influencing politician's behavior while in office. In Figure 2, we show the effects of an increase in wages on the equilibrium outcomes of this simple model. Panel A depicts how an increase in salaries affect the decision to enter politics (Equation 1). An increase in politicians' wages will have two effects. First, the benefits of holding office will increase, thus attracting more able candidates. Second, as the quality of candidates improves, the expected level of public goods that will be provided also increases (i.e. $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_p} \int_A H(g(\bar{e}(\theta), \theta)) dF(\theta) > 0$), thus decreasing the incentives for running for office. Panel A illustrates these two opposing effects. As wages increase from w_p to w'_p , the marginal individual who enters politics goes from $A3$ to $A3'$, thus increasing average ability in pool of candidates.

In panel B, we show the effects of wages on the set of elected officials that will remain in office. As shown in Panel A, an increase in wages increases the ability of the politician that is willing to stay in office from $A3$ to $A3'$. But as the quality of candidates improves, voters impose a higher standard to re-elect the incumbent politician, i.e. a higher \bar{G} . Consequently, a lower ability incumbent, who under the previous wage regime was willing to stay in office, now finds it too costly to exert the

effort to get re-elected. Thus higher wages create a positive selection by inducing higher types to get re-elected and lower types to exit.

In panel C, we illustrate how higher wages affect effort. Individuals with ability $\theta \in [A1, A1']$ are unwilling to provide \bar{G} and thus decrease effort and exit politics. For individuals that have the incentive to remain in politics, an increase in wages will increase their efforts, except for sufficiently high quality politicians where effort will remain unaffected.

3 Institutional Background and Data

3.1 Local Governments and the *Câmara de Vereadores*

Brazil is one of the most decentralized countries in the world. Local governments receive large sums of resources to provide a significant share of public services.¹² The decision on how to spend these resources is made by an elected mayor in conjunction with the local legislature – the *Câmara de Vereadores*.¹³ These *câmaras* consist of a council of legislators elected from an open list, proportional representation system every four years. Its size varies from 9-55 members depending on the municipality’s population. According to Brazil’s constitution, the legislature is responsible for enacting laws and monitoring the executive for its use of public resources. Specifically, legislators are in charge of proposing bills consisting of programs and budgetary projects that would become laws, creating commissions designed to discuss local problems, and encouraging public hearings to learn about the needs of the community.

Legislators can influence local spending and the quality of public policy in three ways. First, legislators must approve the municipal budget. The legislature receives a detailed budget proposal from the mayor with spending items on all programs and public work projects. The legislature (or a specific finance commission) analyzes the budget proposal and then returns it to the mayor

¹²Differently from local governments in other countries, Brazil’s municipalities are responsible for providing education, health care, transportation, and local infrastructure. The 5,560 Brazilian municipalities receive on average \$35 billion per year from the federal government, which represents approximately 15 percent of federal government’s revenue.

¹³Brazil’s *Câmaras de Vereadores*, date back to the 1800s. They were established by the Portuguese crown in the major *Vilas* and were in charge of all local decision-making including administrative, police, and judiciary acts. See Leal (1975) for details on its historical evolution.

with or without line-items vetoes.¹⁴ Despite the fact the legislators must approve the budget and can introduce line items, local mayors are not obligated to spend on any approved items and have significant discretion over local spending. The budget, as approved by legislators, does impose however a limit on the amounts that can be spent by the mayor for specific items.¹⁵

In effect, local legislators influence local policy-making mainly by submitting bills (*projetos de lei*) and petitions (*indicações*). Bills consist of formal projects that are submitted for consideration to the legislature in order to become municipal laws. They can be submitted by individual legislators, a legislative committee, or the mayor himself. While most bills submitted by mayors focus on obtaining funds for extra spending and the hiring of public employees, bills formulated by legislators focus on the adoption of new programs or the creation of local councils to monitor the executive for its implementation of social programs. Some examples will help to illustrate the use of these bills. In the municipality of Brumado, in Bahia, the legislator Gilberto Dias Lima, elected in 2004, proposed two bills that directly affect the quality of education and health provided. The first project established direct elections for municipal school directors and a second project obliges municipal health clinics to test newborns for hearing difficulties. Bills are also used to establish new social programs. Rosinere França Abbud, a legislator from Juiz de Fora, Minas Gerais, presented a bill aimed at creating an emergency unemployment program. In Santa Cruz do Capibaribe, Pernambuco, legislator Rui José Medeiros Silva proposed a bill to create a municipal council of economic and social development.

Petitions, on the other hand, consist of explicit requests made individually by legislators to the mayor, for geographically targeted public works and services. Most petitions consist of infrastructure projects such as road building, construction of health clinics and schools. But it is also common to see legislators request such items as additional doctors in local clinics or teachers and computers for schools. For instance, in the municipality of São Manuel, São Paulo, a legislator sent a petition to the mayor to build a primary school in the neighborhood of Conquista e Bela Vista. In Itabela,

¹⁴See Pereira and Mueller (2002) for an analysis of the budget process and the executive-legislative relations in Brazil.

¹⁵Differently from the federal congress, however, amendments play a small role in the bargaining process between the local executive and legislative (Melo 2005). See Ames (1995) for a detailed description on the use of *Emendas Parlamentares* in Brazil.

Bahia, the legislator Genilda Farias requested resources to train primary school teachers, while another legislator, Agnaldo Santos, proposed the hiring of doctors to attend the growing number of patients in the Itabela health center. In the municipality of Taquari, Rio Grande do Sul, petition no.140/06, from legislator Celso Göethel, asked for the acquisition of computers for the municipal school “Sóror Joana Angélica”, located in Passo do Juncal. In addition to submitting bills and public work requests, local legislators are also in charge of monitoring the executive for its use of public resources. The quality of legislators (competence and honesty) is likely to affect whether they overlook corruption, irregular public hires, and irregularities in the public administration.¹⁶

Differently from mayors who face a two term-limit, legislators can get reelected indefinitely. Hence, politicians that desire a career in local politics have strong incentives to perform according to voters expectations. Moreover, for some politicians, the local legislature is just a first step towards a higher level political position. A large number of mayors, governors and congressmen started their careers as local legislators. For all these reasons, increases in legislators salaries are likely to induce *vereadores* to put more effort into signaling high productivity to voters in order to get reelected or build a future career.

We measure this effort by examining the number of bills submitted and approved by legislators and the variation in public services using data for the number of schools, health clinics, teachers and doctors. In order to illustrate how legislators inform voters about the bills and petitions (*indicações*) they have submitted, we present in Appendix B three examples extracted from the personal web sites of legislators. Each example includes the name of the legislator, the municipality they got elected for, and the internet address of the web site that provides information on their accomplishments.

In the first example, legislator Ana Selma, from *Cabo de Santo Agostinho*, Pernambuco, describes her visit to the city of Garapu, where she informed its citizens about her petitions to benefit the region. She requested the construction of a primary school, a health clinic for the Health Family Program and the intensification of police escorts to control crime. In the second example, legislator Romério, from Resende in the state of Rio de Janeiro, highlights his petitions for educa-

¹⁶See Lopez (2004) for a detailed case study of the executive-legislative relation at the municipal level.

tional improvements. His website claims the acquisition of magazines and newspapers for school libraries, and the construction of computer and science labs in the local schools. The third example illustrated by José Damaso, from Palmas, informs his constituents about his requests for the construction of new classrooms in the municipal school of Tiago Barbosa, as well as the construction of a local police station in the community of Taquari.¹⁷

3.2 Constitutional Rules and the Salary of Legislators

The salary of federal deputies, as determined by Brazil's constitution, serves as the basis for the wages of all other legislators. State legislators are free to set their own salary subject to a maximum of 75 percent of what federal deputies earn and until 2000 local legislators were subject to a maximum salary of 75 percent of state deputies' earnings. This restriction, although aimed at limiting spending in local legislatures, was not enough to control the rent-seeking behavior of local legislators. Legislative spending exploded during the nineties and at the end of 2000 a constitutional amendment was established to further limit the maximum salary of local legislators. It defined caps on the salary of legislators and the share of revenues that could be spent on the local legislature as a function of municipal population.

Table 1 summarizes the main features of this law. There are 6 population thresholds defining the maximum salary of legislators. In smaller municipalities, up to 10,000 inhabitants, local legislators can get as much as 20 percent of the state deputy salary. This share increases to 30 percent in municipalities with a population between 10,000 and 50,000 residents. For larger municipalities, those above 500,000 inhabitants, the maximum value is set at 75 percent of state deputy salaries. Column 3 displays the maximum allowed wages estimated for 2004/2005, given that federal deputies had a salary of R\$12,847.2 and state deputies had a salary capped at R\$9,635.4.¹⁸ For municipalities with less than 10,000 inhabitants, the maximum salary of a legislature can receive is R\$1,927 per month versus R\$7,227 per month for legislators residing in municipalities with a population

¹⁷These three examples are just a sample of many webpages and blogs used to disseminate the information about the actions being taken by legislators. In effect, several legislators list the bills and petitions submitted on their web pages or blogs as a way to signal productivity to voters.

¹⁸There is almost no variation in the salaries of state deputies across Brazil. Most of the variation comes from the perks from office.

above 500,000 inhabitants. The constitutional amendment also capped the amount of legislative spending as a percent of total revenues, but these percentages only vary for the municipalities with a population above 100,000, which represents only 3 percent of the sample (see column 4).

Although some municipalities do set their salaries at the upper limit, this is generally not the case. Figure 4 shows a histogram with the frequency of municipalities in each wage group. The vertical lines indicate the maximum salary that could be paid. Two things are clear from the figure. First, although there is some bunching at the maximum salary, there is also a large number of municipalities that set salaries below that maximum permitted by law. Second, the largest share of municipalities belongs to the first two cutoffs (population less than 50,000).

3.3 Data and Descriptive Statistics

The main data source used in this study comes from a new Census of Brazil’s Municipal Legislatures. It was collected in 2005 by the *Interlegis*, a sub-secretary of the Brazilian Senate, for 5,414 municipalities. Approximately 260 surveyors collected data on physical facilities (e.g. building ownership, existence of telephone lines, and access to the internet); institutional characteristics (e.g. administrative structure, existence of legislative commissions, wage paid to legislators); and personal characteristics of legislators (e.g. education, gender, age, term in office). A novel feature of this census is the availability of municipal level data on the legislators’ wages, and measures of legislative output (number of bills submitted and approved).¹⁹

To study the effects of wages on political entry and selection, we construct a complementary dataset with the characteristics of legislative candidates that ran in the 2004 election. Using the electronic files available from the Tribunal Superior Eleitoral (TSE), we calculate for each municipality, the number of candidates, the proportion of female candidates, their age, the distribution of candidates by schooling levels, and their political parties.

For the purpose of the analysis, it is important to account for any differences in municipal characteristics and to test whether these characteristics are discontinuous at the wage cutoffs. As

¹⁹We also have data on total compensation (wages plus perks from office such as gas for their cars and mobile phones) but there is considerable measurement error associated with these figures. We use wages in the analysis that follows but our results are similar if instead we use total compensation.

such, we gathered information from several additional sources.²⁰ The Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE)) 2000 population census provides us with socio-economic characteristics such as the percentage of urban population, Gini coefficient, income per capita and a measure of infrastructure availability (percentage of households with electricity). In addition, we use the IBGE inter-census population estimates to obtain data on the 2003 municipal population. To control for different institutional features of the municipality, we use the 2002 and 2005 *Perfil dos Municípios Brasileiros: Gestão Pública*. This survey characterizes various aspects of the public administration, such as budgetary and planning procedures, the number of public employees. It also provides us with structural features such as the existence of local radio and the presence of a judge and public prosecutors. Public finance data was obtained from the National Treasury (Secretaria do Tesouro) through the FINBRA dataset. It contains municipal spending by categories and revenues by sources (i.e. local taxes, intergovernmental transfers). The differences in legislators' wages across municipalities might, in part, reflect differences in living costs across regions. In order to control for this we also gathered data on average municipal wages from the RAIS, which includes information on all workers in the public sector and formal private sector.

Descriptive statistics for the main variables used in the analysis are shown in Table 2. The average size of the legislature is about 9 legislators (which is equal to the minimum size) and the average wage for a legislator is R\$1740, which is approximately 2.6 times the average wage for workers. In a large number of municipalities, the legislature is in session for only part of the week, on average 10 hours. During 2005, there were approximately 0.91 bills submitted per legislator and 0.73 got approved. The legislatures are mainly composed of male legislators (approximately 87 percent) and legislators that are either in their first or second mandate (72 percent). Approximately one third are less than 40 years old and the average years of schooling of legislators is 9.7 (median is 10.6), which is equivalent to a high school drop-out. Elected legislators seem to be slightly more educated than the average candidate (9.08 years of schooling) and a smaller proportion of woman get elected (women are, on average, 21 percent of candidates). There are, on average, 6.2 candidates per seat, but this number drops to 3.4 for the first quartile of municipalities.

²⁰See the data appendix A for a detailed description of data sources.

As for municipalities in Brazil, they are, on average, small (26,500 inhabitants), largely urban (61% or urban population), highly unequal (average Gini coefficient of 0.56), and approximately a quarter of the population is illiterate.

4 Empirical Strategy

Our analysis estimates the effects of wages on politician selection and performance. To identify these effects, we exploit discontinuities in the wages that local legislators receive due to particular population restrictions. In this section, we discuss the econometric models used to estimate these wage effects and the assumptions needed for a causal interpretation of the parameters of interest.

Consider the following cross-sectional relationship between wages and politician performance:

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(w)_i + x_i' \delta + \varepsilon_i \\ \log(w)_i &= \alpha + x_i' \theta + \nu_i \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where y_i measures of the average performance of politicians in municipality i (e.g. the average number of projects approved by the legislative council), w_i is the wage that members of the local legislature receive, x_i is a vector of observed municipal characteristics, and ε_i and ν_i are unobserved determinants of politician performance and wages, respectively. Under the assumption that $E[\varepsilon_i \nu_i] = 0$, the least squares estimator of β_1 will be a consistent estimate of the causal effect of wages on politician performance.

Unfortunately, there are several potential omitted factors that covary with both wages and politician performance. For instance, municipalities that offer higher wages presumably attract more able politicians who are also more productive in submitting bills in the legislature. Other potential omitted factors may also arise from the demand-side. Municipalities with higher levels of economic or political activity may not only offer higher wages but also demand more action from their public figures (see Di Tella and Fisman (2004)).

To overcome these identification concerns, we use an instrumental variables approach that ex-

exploits the discontinuities in politician wages created by federally-mandated population cutoffs.²¹ Using a fuzzy regression discontinuity framework (Van Der Klaauw 2002), we use the cutoff indicators as excluded instruments and rewrite equation (3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_i &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 E[\log(w)_i | P_i, x_i] + f(P_i) + x_i' \delta + \varepsilon_i & (4) \\
 E[\log(w)_i | P_i, x_i] &= \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^5 \alpha_k 1\{P_i > \bar{P}_k\} + g(P_i) + x_i' \theta
 \end{aligned}$$

where P_i is the population of municipality i , $1\{\cdot\}$ is an indicator function that equals one if the municipality's population is above the k^{th} cutoff \bar{P}_k . The functions $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ are flexible functions of population.

In the context of equation (4), consistent estimation of β_1 relies on the assumptions that, at the population cutoffs, wages are discontinuous (which is testable), and that $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ are locally continuous (Hahn, Todd, and Van der Klaauw 2001). If $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ are specified correctly, then using the cutoffs indicators as the excluded instruments will provide a consistent estimate of β_1 . In our preferred specification, we use five population cut-offs and estimate $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ as piecewise linear splines (i.e. separate regressions on both sides of each discontinuity). We also show that our results are robust to relaxing this functional form assumption.²²

5 Empirical Results

In this section, we provide evidence that politicians' salaries affect both the the composition of politicians that run for and get elected into office, as well as their behavior. These results are robust to various specifications and are consistent with the models of Caselli and Morelli (2004) and Besley (2004).

²¹ Angrist and Lavy (1999) uses a similar strategy to study the effects of class size on test scores.

²² Alternatively, the fuzzy-regression discontinuity estimator could be implemented using a non-parametric approach. A local linear regression could be used to estimate the outcome and treatment regressions. See Imbens and Lemieux (2008) for an overview of different alternatives for estimating the Regression Discontinuity.

5.1 The Effects of Wages on Candidate Entry and Characteristics

OLS Estimates

We begin our analysis by documenting the relationship between the characteristics of politicians that ran for the local legislative office in the 2004 elections and legislators' wages. Column 1 of Table 3 reports the estimated slope coefficient from an OLS regression where the dependent variable is the number of candidates per seat. In the first specification, which adjusts for the population of the municipality, the number of assistants per legislator, and the number of hours for which the legislature functions per week, we find a strong positive association suggesting that a 10 percent increase in wages is associated with a 0.3 increase in the number of candidates. In column 2, we present our full specification that, in addition to the controls presented in column 1, adjusts for other characteristics of the municipality such as income and urbanization, but also includes average wage which captures differences in a politician's opportunity costs across municipalities. Under our full specification, the coefficient on log wages decreases by 0.3, but it remains highly statistically significant. Higher wages may not only attract more political competition, but also a different composition of candidates. In columns 3 and 4, we re-estimate the specifications reported in the first two columns but use as the dependent variable, the candidate's years of schooling. We find that higher wages are associated with candidates with more years of education (point estimate 0.719; robust standard error = 0.043). Much of this effect appears to come from the fact that among municipalities that offer higher wages, the share of candidates with at least a high school degree is higher (see columns 7 and 8).²³ Interestingly, however, the average wages in the municipality which would proxy for the candidate's opportunity cost, is not a strong predictor of quality. In addition to education levels, wages are associated with a higher share of female candidates (see columns 9 and 10) and older candidates (see columns 11 and 12); although in the former, the point estimates are quite small in magnitude.

Overall, the results presented in Table 3 suggest that higher remuneration is associated with increased competition and more educated candidates. One should, however, be cautious to interpret

²³In some specifications, we also find that the literacy rate of the population is a strong predictor of the candidates' education levels.

these results as causal, as there are several omitted factors that could be confounding these results. We address these identification concerns in the next section.

Population Thresholds and Politicians' Salaries

As we discussed in Section 3, the federal government stipulated a ceiling for the wage of local politicians that depends on various population thresholds. The innovation of our empirical approach is to use this exogenous variation in wage determination to identify the effects of wages on politician selection and performance. The effects of the federal mandate on politicians' wages can be seen in the 3 panels presented in Figure 5, which plots politician wages in 2005 against the municipality's population in 2003.²⁴ Each panel presents unadjusted population-cell means of wages (depicted by the small circles) along with the fitted values of a locally weighted regression calculated within each population segment (as denoted by the vertical lines).²⁵ With perhaps the exception of the first cutoff, the data exhibit a discernable step function at each segment. For instance, municipalities between 50,000 and 100,000 inhabitants (i.e. the third segment) display a cluster of wages set at around R\$ 4,000 per month (approximately \$2,200). In the fourth segment, the wages appear to cluster at just below R\$5,000. The figure also highlights the fact that several municipalities do not set their politician wages to the maximum allowance (perhaps due to budget constraints).

The general patterns presented in the figure are also borne out in the adjusted regression results. In Table 4, column 1 presents the first-stage regression of log wages on indicators for whether population is above the first five cutoffs along with a piecewise linear spline for population. The coefficients on the cutoff indicators estimate the average increase in log wages at each threshold point. For instance, the indicator for the first cutoff suggests that wages in municipalities just above the population threshold pay politicians 21 percent more than municipalities immediately below the cutoffs. The other cutoffs display a similar pattern to the one presented in Figure 5, except for the second cutoff where the discontinuity is close to zero and not statistically significant.

²⁴We use the 2003 population because the wages in 2005, the first year of the legislature, had to be set by the previous legislature in power between 2001 and 2004. Since wage changes are usually done during the last year of the legislature and population estimates are only available in the end of the year, legislators choosing wages in 2004 were likely to be regulated based on the 2003 population.

²⁵The average wage is computed for a 200 person bin.

The results remain very similar when we control for municipal characteristics in column 2.

Interestingly, when we only allow for differential slopes in the first two cut-offs (which are the ones that are significant in the second specification) the regression does not lose any explanatory power and the cutoff indicators have more predictive power.²⁶ Overall, the regressions fit the data fairly well as the cut-off indicators and the population function explain almost 70 percent of the variation in wages generating a joint F-statistic of 29.10 on the excluded instruments.

Instrumental Variable Estimates of Candidate Characteristics

Table 5 presents the IV estimates for the effects of wages on the characteristics of politicians that were reported in Table 3. For each dependent variable, we estimate equation (4) which in addition to the controls presented in Table 3, also adjusts for a piecewise linear spline in population. The excluded instruments are the indicator variables for the five cutoff points, and the joint test of their significance is reported for each sample.

In column 1, we present the IV results for the effect of wages on the number of candidates per seat that ran for election in 2004. The estimated coefficient on wages is 1.463 (robust standard error = 0.598) which is approximately half the size of the OLS estimate (see Table 3), and suggests that a 10 percent increase in wages increase political competition by 0.15 candidates per seat. In column 2, we report our full specification and find that the point estimate is virtually unchanged with additional controls.

In columns 3-12 we report the effects of wages on the characteristics of candidates. The results are consistent with the OLS estimates. For instance, the pool of candidates tend be more educated: one more unit of log wages are associated with 0.63 more years of school (robust standard error = 0.318). Again, the effects on education are mostly due to an increase in the share of candidates with at least a high school education. A 10 percent increase in wages increases the share of candidates with at least a high school degree by 1.5 percent. We also find evidence that higher wages attract more females and younger candidates (contrary to the OLS estimates), but the estimated effects are rather minimal. In general, the estimated coefficients in the IV specifications are smaller than the

²⁶For all of our subsequent results, we use the second specification presented in Table 4 as the first stage. Using the third specification provides similar results that given the higher F-statistic are more precise.

OLS estimates suggesting that the presence of unobserved characteristics over-estimate the effects of salaries on candidate characteristics.

5.2 The Effects of Wages on the Selection of Candidates

Thus far, we have shown that the wages politicians receive affect the pool of legislator candidates. But, this does not necessarily imply a change in the composition of elected politicians. Table 6 presents the effects of wages on various characteristics of the 2004 elected legislative body. Panel A reports the IV coefficients from estimating model (4), whereas Panel B presents the OLS estimates for comparison. As with previous tables, the odd columns presents our basic specification and the even columns add municipal characteristics.

In columns 1 and 2, we see that higher wages increase legislators' tenure. The estimated effect of log wages on average number of terms is 0.395 (see column 1 of panel A) and highly significant (robust standard error = 0.150). Consistent with this effect, higher wages increase the share of legislator with 3-7 terms of tenure by approximately 0.12 percentage points (robust standard error=0.04), while decreasing the share of legislators with no more than 2 terms of experience by -0.074 percentage points (robust standard error = 0.056). These results support the theoretical prediction that higher wages decrease turnover rates of politicians and echo the empirical findings of Diermeier, Keane, and Merlo (2005).²⁷

As with the pool of candidates, the results also indicate that wages affect the average education level of the legislative body. The estimates reported in columns 7 and 8 suggest that a 10 percent increase in wages will increase the average education the legislature by 0.10 years, although the estimates are not statistically significant. We do however find significant effects on the share of legislatures with at least a high school degree. A 10 percent increase in wages is associated with a 4 percent increase in the share of legislators with at least a high school degree (see column 12 of Panel A). Columns 13-14 and 15-16 report the effects of wages on the share of female legislators and the average age respectively. Although the point estimates are positive in both of these categories, they are relatively small in magnitude and measured imprecisely.

²⁷Admittedly, our measure of tenure does not exactly capture turnover rates. To measure turnover precisely, we would need individual data matched across the two electoral terms, which we are currently working on.

In sum, exploiting discontinuities in the wages that local legislators receive, the findings indicate that higher wages not only attract a better pool of potential candidates (more educated) but also affect the composition of elected legislators. Given the positive selection, a natural question to ask is whether or not wages also affect politicians' behavior and performance. As we discussed in the theoretical framework, there are many theoretical reasons why legislative performance might be affected. First, as the monetary benefits from holding office increase, elected officials will exert more effort in order to signal productivity to voters and get re-elected. Second, as we change the composition and type of legislators that are elected, we would expect performance and effort to change. Next, we investigate whether higher salaries affect legislative performance using indicators of bills submitted and approved, and measures of the provision of local public goods.

5.3 The Effects of Wages on Politician Performance

Although there are several potential indicators of politician performance, it is not easy to obtain an objective measure for local legislatures. We use the data available in the legislative census to measure performance as the number of bills submitted and the number of bills approved by the legislators in 2005. Although these measures do not account for the quality of the bills and projects submitted, we would expect the number of bills to be a function of legislators' effort.²⁸

Table 7 presents estimates for the effects of wages on the various measures of legislative performance. The IV results are displayed in panel A while the OLS results are shown in panel B for comparison. For each dependent variable, we estimate equation (4) with municipal controls and a piecewise linear spline in population (not shown in results). The excluded instruments are again the indicator variables for the five cutoff points.

Column 1 of Panel A reports the estimated slope coefficient from an IV regression where the dependent variable is the log of the number of bills submitted per legislator.²⁹ In the first specification, which adjusts for the population of the municipality, the number of assistants per legislator, and the number of hours the legislature is in session, we find a strong positive association suggesting

²⁸See for example Clinton and Lapinski (2006) for a discussion on measuring legislative accomplishment.

²⁹Before taking the log, we add a one to the total number of bills submitted to avoid losing the municipalities that had zero bill in 2005. To do so, does not affect our results in the slightest.

that a 10 percent increase in wages increases the number of bills submitted by 5 percent. The estimated effect is approximately 0.07 percentage points lower than the OLS estimate. In column 2, we report our full specification and find that the point estimate is virtually unchanged with additional controls. Even though the number of bills submitted does capture a measure of politician's effort, perhaps more important for society is whether these bills get approved. In columns 3 and 4, we re-estimate the specifications reported in the first two columns but use the log number of approved bills per legislator. We find a significant and positive relationship between wages and the number bills approved, with an elasticity of 0.51 (robust standard error = 0.264). Moreover, when we divide the number of bills approved by the bills submitted and compute a share of bills approved, we find that higher wages also increase this share (see columns 5 and 6). For instance, a 10 percent increase in wages increases the share of bills approved by about 3 percentage points. This point estimate lies in contrast to the OLS estimates which suggest that share actually decreases.

In addition to bills, we use another measure of the organization of the legislative process – the functioning of the committee system. Several scholars argue that in legislatures, the existence of committees reduce the possibility of opportunistic behavior by legislators (e.g. Weingast and Marshall 1988 suggest that committees improve ex post enforceability). Even though most municipalities only have one or two committees, their existence induces gains from specialization and improvements in the quality of decision-making. In columns 7 and 8, we report the estimated effects of wages on an indicator for whether the legislature has a functioning legislative commission. We find that legislatures with higher wages have a higher probability of having a functioning commission, but the effect is small (a 10 percent increase in wages increase the chances of having a commission by .02 percentage points).

5.4 The Effects of Wages on Public Goods

Overall, the estimates presented in Table 7 suggest that wages have an important effect on legislative productivity. Local legislatures that pay their elected officials higher wages have more bills submitted and approved and are more likely to have functioning commissions. But whether these legislative acts map into improvements in public goods provision is not entirely obvious, especially

given that we are unable to distinguish the type of bills in our data. Moreover, as we mention in Section 3, legislators affect policy both through formal bills as well as informal requests (*petitions*). These informal requests are a common way for legislators to provide patronage to their constituents and as depicted in Appendix B consist of various types of public works.

Unfortunately without data on petitions, we cannot test whether wages also affect the number of petitions that legislators submitted. If bills and petitions are viewed as substitutes then it is quite possible that higher wages may have even lowered the number petitions. There are, however, at least two reasons why this unlikely to be the case. First, we collect detailed information on the number of petitions and bills that were submitted in 2005-2007 by legislator for a sample of 14 municipalities.³⁰ For 148 legislators, we estimate a positive correlation coefficient between the number of petitions and bills that a legislator submitted of 0.151 (bootstrap standard error=0.083). Second, as we will discuss in the next table, municipalities that offer higher wages also provide more of precisely the types of public goods that are presented in these petitions, e.g. schools, clinics, etc.

Table 8 presents the relationship between wages and the provision of various public goods. For each dependent variable, we estimate equation (4) controlling for our full set of covariates. Columns 1-4 present the effects of wages on various education inputs, and columns 5-7 present the effects on the supply of health inputs. With the exception of teachers with a college degree, each of these public inputs is found in the petitions presented in Appendix B.

In order to identify the supply of new public goods resulting from the 2005 petitions, we use the change in the stock of public of goods from 2004-2006. Column 1 reports the effects of log wages on the change from 2004-2006 in the number of primary and secondary schools. A 10 percent increase in wages increased the number of schools by 0.22 over the two year period.³¹ Moreover, among municipalities that offer higher wages, there was an increase from 2004-2006 in the share of schools with a science lab (column 2) and a computer lab (column 3). As reported in column 4, wages did not affect the change in the share of teachers with a college degree, but then these types of inputs

³⁰Unfortunately this is not based on a random sample of municipalities. We could only gather this information for a subset of the municipalities that posted this information on the legislatures' websites.

³¹Although wages were only affected during the 2004-2008 administration, the constitutional amendment was announced in 2000. By comparing the change in public goods from 2004-2006, we could be underestimating these effects if legislators increased their efforts in 2001-2004 in order to get re-elected.

are much less affected by legislators.

In columns 5-7, we also find that higher wages affect the provision of health services. For instance, a 10 percent increase in wages increases the number of health clinics by 0.17 per 10,000 inhabitants (column 5). There is also an effect on the number of doctors per capita (point estimate = 0.642; robust standard error=0.278), and the change from 2004-2006 in the average number of doctor visits (point estimate 0.522; robust standard error= 0.236).

5.5 Discussion

As discussed in Section 4, the principal assumption underlying our estimation results is that other determinants of legislative performance and selection do not exhibit discontinuous jumps at the population cutoffs. In this section, we demonstrate that other characteristics of the municipality do exhibit this smoothness property and that our results are robust to controlling for flexible functional forms of the other variables. We also provide suggestive evidence that the effects of wages are not entirely driven by positive selection and that higher wages induced legislators to exert more effort.

Behavior versus Selection

Thus far the findings are consistent with the standard political agency models where higher salaries increase the value of holding office in the future and induce more effort. Unfortunately at least two other models provide similar predictions. One possible interpretation of our results would arise from a simple model of adverse selection. If politicians are heterogeneous in ability (or motivation) and reservation wages were positively correlated with ability then higher wages would attract higher quality politicians.³² This basic insight is formalized in some of the original efficiency wages models (e.g. Weiss (1980)) and provides the intuition behind the predictions provided in model of Caselli and Morelli (2004). Another potential interpretation is the possibility that higher wages increases worker morale or dedication, as discussed by Akerlof (1982). Unfortunately, our research design does not allow us to separately distinguish these various models. In this section, we do however

³²Higher quality politicians may also induce positive social interactions that leads to more productivity.

provide suggestive evidence that the productivity effects are not entirely due to positive selection.

In Table 9, we re-estimate the effects of wages on our measures of politician performance controlling for the observable differences in the composition of the legislative body. Assuming that the observed characteristics of the politicians are correlated with their unobserved characteristics, then this approach attributes to the observed characteristics of the legislature all the effects of the unobserved variables. Thus, if politician productivity is largely due to changes in the pool of local legislators, then we would expect that accounting for these differences should attenuate the wage effects.³³

We find that some characteristics of the legislative body have significant power in predicting legislative performance. More educated and male-dominated legislative bodies are associated with higher performance.³⁴ We do however find that adjusting for the observable differences has only a minimal effect on the wage coefficient; in most cases, attenuating the effects only slightly. Thus, if the politicians' unobserved abilities are correlated with their measured characteristics, then selection alone does not explain our results.

Table 10 provides further suggestive evidence that the benefits of office may have induced more effort. One implication of the political agency model is that in municipalities where political competition is lower, we might expect the effects of wages to diminish. In Table 10, we report the specifications presented in Table 9, but compare the effects among municipalities in bottom quartile of political competition to those in the top quartile of our measure of political competition.³⁵ In general, we find that the effects of wages on the various measures of legislative productivity are much more pronounced among municipalities where there was more political competition in the previous elections. For instance, a 10 percent increase in wages increase the number of bills approved by 10.4 percent.

Overall, the results suggest that salaries play a significant role in politician performance. While

³³An obvious concern with this test is that we can only capture observable differences in politician characteristics, and controlling for these difference may not be sufficient to partial out all the effects of the unobserved variables. For instance, higher wages may have encouraged for instance more able politicians and if ability is no captured in the observable differences, we are not fully accounting for the selection effect.

³⁴The negative coefficient on the share of female legislators while difficult to interpret is not unprecedented. Jeydel and Taylor (2003) provides a discussion of these issues.

³⁵Our measure of political competition is effective of number of political parties that ran for mayor in the 2000 elections.

we cannot definitely rule out a selection effect, we take these results as suggestive evidence that higher salaries induce both selection and behavioral effects.

Smoothness condition and other potential confounds

The general concern with any regression discontinuity design is the possibility that some unobserved determinant is also discontinuous at the various cutoff points. Although we cannot directly test this assumption, we can gain some insights by examining whether other observable characteristics have discontinuous breaks at the cutoffs. Figure 6, panels A-D, present a series of municipal characteristics plotted against population. Each figure depicts population cell means of the municipal characteristic for the first three population thresholds (which represents 96 percent of the observations) along with the fitted values of a locally weighted regression calculated with each segment.³⁶ Consider, for example, log income per capita, which is a strong predictor of both the number of bills that were submitted and approved in a municipality's legislative branch. As Figure 6 depicts, income per capita is smooth across each of the three cutoff points. We also graph the following pre-determined characteristics: literacy rate, average private sector wage, and income inequality.³⁷ In general, the figures show small differences at each threshold points.

Table 11 illustrates a similar point by testing whether the population cutoffs are significant for a larger set of municipal and mayor characteristics. The table reports a series of regressions where the dependent variable listed in each column is fit to equation (4). Overall, the table confirms that there are no significant differences at cutoff points for various characteristics of the municipality. The only exception is income inequality as measured by the Gini coefficient.

The results presented in Table 11 address two other potential concerns. If the legislatures that offered higher wages also provided other non-wage job attributes that directly affect the utility of politicians, then we might be overestimating the effects of wages on performance and selection. But as columns 16 and 17 demonstrate, there are no discontinuities in the two principal non-pecuniary

³⁶We excluded the 4th and 5th cutoffs for presentational purposes. To include these additional observations does not affect the results.

³⁷Gagliarducci, Nannicini, and Naticchioni (2008) find that members of the Italian parliament who have higher wages outside of Parliament submit less bills. The plot of average private sector wages suggest that this is not a concern, even though it would imply that our estimates are lower bounds.

features of the legislature: number assistants and number hours the legislature is open.³⁸

Another concern, which would lead us to overestimate the effects of wages, is if the discontinuities in the salaries also created discontinuities in the returns to holding office either in the private sector or in higher public offices. While it is difficult to test this hypothesis without wage for politicians who have left office, it appears unlikely given the smoothness in private sector wages and income per capita for municipalities near the threshold. Moreover, Diermeier, Keane, and Merlo (2005) find that the effects of an increase in wages on the value of a congressional seat are actually quite small.

Specification Tests

Given the differences in income inequality across the third population threshold and some of the other slight differences in the observable characteristics, we re-estimate all the models presented in Tables 3-8 including a flexible-functional form for each of our control variables (a fourth-order polynomial). The results are presented in column 1 of Table 12, where each coefficient is the IV estimate of the dependent variables listed in each row on log wages. As column 1 reports, the estimates are not only similar, but in some cases measured with more precision.

The other columns of Table 12 test whether our results are sensitive to the functional form assumption of a linear spline. In columns 2-5 we present IV estimates of a model where instead of allowing different slopes in each side of the discontinuities we control for a fourth degree polynomial in population (i.e. in model 4, $f(P) = g(P) = \sum_{i=1}^4 P^i$), and again use the cutoffs as the excluded instruments. In this model, unlike the linear spline where the identification is limited to the cutoff points, it imposes additional structure by assuming a constant treatment effect.³⁹

As seen in Column 2, virtually all of the results are qualitatively similar. In general the point estimates are slightly larger and more precisely estimated.⁴⁰ In the remaining columns of Table 12, we re-estimate this model restricting the sample to the set of observations that are 5 and 10 percent above and below the cutoff points. The point estimates are consistent with the previous

³⁸Each regression that has been presented has controlled for these features of the legislature.

³⁹See Card, Mas, and Rothstein (2008) and Lee (2008) for the application of such models.

⁴⁰Only in the case of estimating the effects of salaries on the share of female legislators do we find contradictory results. Under this alternative model, one might conclude that higher wages decreased the share of female legislators.

results, although as expected, with few observations we lose quite a bit of precision.

6 Conclusions

Despite the general consensus that good governance matters for economic development, there is much less agreement on which aspects of governance are important or how it can be improved. The existing political economy literature has mostly focused on how incentives shape the quality of government. But recent studies have introduced an important role for political selection. Institutions and policies are shaped by those holding power, so improvements in governance may require good leaders, persons of character and wisdom (Besley 2006).

While there has been a growing theoretical literature that examines how monetary rewards to politicians affect political selection (Caselli and Morelli (2004), Matozzi and Merlo (2007)), data limitations and identification concerns have limited the empirical tests of these models. Moreover, little is known about how monetary rewards affect politicians' performance (Besley 2006). In this paper, we estimate the effects of monetary rewards on political selection and legislative performance using an identification strategy that overcomes many prevailing limitations. The empirical analysis exploits discontinuities in the wages of local politicians across Brazil's municipal governments that are based on population thresholds. We find that among municipalities that offer higher wages more educated candidates run for office, and this leads to an increase in the share of elected legislators with at least a high school education. Higher wages also encourages politicians to stay in office longer resulting in a higher share of experienced legislators. The findings that higher wages attract better quality politicians are consistent with the theoretical predictions of Caselli and Morelli (2004) and Besley (2004).

In addition to the positive selection of candidates, we find that wages also affect politicians' performance. Among municipalities that offer higher wages, politicians submit and approve more legislative bills. This is consistent with an increase in effort induced by the higher future value of holding office. In Brazil, legislators also signal effort by providing petitions for public works and improvement in public services for their voters. We find that in municipalities with higher salaries, there is an increase in the number of schools, local clinics and an improvement in their

infrastructure.

In sum, this paper provides evidence that improving financial incentives can improve the quality of government, at least in a local context. Higher wages attract better politicians, induces more effort, which ultimately leads to more public good provision. This occurs even in an environment where agents are motivated by other aspects of the position they hold: ego-rents, ideology, intrinsic motivations (Benabou and Tirole (2003); (Besley and Ghatak 2005)). However, whether this increase in performance is due to the positive selection of politicians or the incentive effects of higher wage is difficult to identify. The effects of wages on politicians' performance are robust to changes in the composition of the observable characteristics of the legislature, suggesting that the effects are not exclusively due to selection. Future research should focus on the complementarity of improvements in selection and the adoption of appropriate incentives for elected politicians to improve public service provision.

References

- Acemoglu, Daron, Simon Johnson, and James A. Robinson. 2003. "An African Success Story: Botswana." In *In Search of Prosperity: Analytical Narrative on Economic Growth*, edited by Dani Rodrik. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Akerlof, George A. 1982. "Labor Contracts as Partial Gift Exchange." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, November, 543–69.
- Alesina, Alberto. 1988. "Credibility and Policy Convergence in a Two-Party System with Rational Voters." *American Economic Review* 78 (4): 796–805.
- Alesina, Alberto, and Guido Tabellini. 2004. "Bureaucrats or Politicians?" *NBER Working Paper No. W10241*.
- Ames, Barry. 1995. "Electoral Strategy under Open-List Proportional Representation." *American Journal of Political Science* 39 (2): 406–433 (May).
- Angrist, Joshua D., and Victor Lavy. 1999. "Using Maimonides Rule to Estimate the Effect of Class Size on Scholastic Achievement." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 114:533–575.
- Banks, Jeffrey, and R. Sundaram. 1993. "Adverse Selection and Moral Hazard in a Repeated Elections Model." In *Political Economy: Institutions, Information, Competition, and Representation*, edited by et al W. Barnett. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Barro, Robert. 1970. "The Control of Politicians: An Economic Model." *Public Choice* 14:19–42.
- Benabou, Roland, and Jean Tirole. 2003. "Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivation." *Review of Economic Studies* 70 (3): 489–520.
- Besley, Tim, Torsten Persson, and Daniel Sturm. 2007. "Political Competition, Policy and Growth: Theory and Evidence from the United States." *NBER Working Paper No. 11484*.
- Besley, Timothy. 2004. "Paying Politicians: Theory and Evidence." *Journal of the European Economic Association* 2:193–215.
- . 2006. *Principled Agents? The Political Economy of Good Government*. Oxford, UK: The Lindahl Lectures, Oxford University Press.
- Besley, Timothy, and Anne Case. 1995. "Does Electoral Accountability Affect Economic Policy Choices? Evidence from Gubernatorial Term Limits." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 110 (3): 769–798 (August).
- Besley, Timothy, and Stephen Coate. 1997. "An Economic Model of Representative Democracy." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 112:85–114.
- Besley, Timothy, and Maitreesh Ghatak. 2005. "Competition and Incentives with Motivated Agents." *American Economic Review* 95 (3): 616–636.

- Besley, Timothy, Rohini Pande, and Vijayendra Rao. 2005. "Political Selection and the Quality of Government: Evidence from South India." *Mimeo, Yale University*.
- Callander, Steven. 2007. "Political Motivations." *Review of Economic Studies*, forthcoming.
- Calvert, R. L. 1985. "Robustness of the Multi-dimensional Voting Model: Candidate Motivations, Uncertainty and Convergence." *American Journal of Political Science*, vol. 29.
- Campbell, D.T. 1969. "Reforms as Experiments." *American Psychologist* 24:409–429.
- Card, David, Alexandre Mas, and Jesse Rothstein. 2008. "Tipping and the Dynamics of Segregation." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 123 (1): 177218.
- Caselli, Francesco, and Massimo Morelli. 2004. "Bad Politicians." *Journal of Public Economics* 88:759–782.
- Chattopadhyay, Raghavendra, and Esther Dufflo. 2004. "Women as Policy Makers: Evidence from a India-Wide Randomized Policy Experiment." *Econometrica* 72 (5): 1409–1443.
- Clinton, Joshua D., and John S. Lapinski. 2006. "Measuring Legislative Accomplishment, 1877-1994." *American Journal of Political Science* 50 (1): 232–249.
- Dal Bó, Ernesto, Pedro Dal Bó, and Rafael Di Tella. 2006. "Plata o Plomo?: Bribe and Punishment in a Theory of Political Influence." *American Political Science Review* 100 (1): 41–53 (February).
- De Long, J. B., and A. Schleifer. 2003. "Princes or Merchants? City Growth before the Industrial Revolution." *Journal of Law and Economics*, pp. 153–177.
- Di Tella, Rafael, and Ray Fisman. 2004. "Are Politicians Really Paid Like Bureaucrats?" *The Journal of Law and Economics* 47:477–513.
- Diermeier, Daniel, Michael Keane, and Antonio Merlo. 2005. "A Political Economy Model of Congressional Careers." *American Economic Review* 95:347–373.
- Ferejohn, J. 1986. "Incumbent Performance and Electoral Control." *Public Choice* 50:5–25.
- Ferraz, Claudio, and Frederico Finan. 2007. "Electoral Accountability and Corruption in Local Governments: Evidence from Audit Reports." *IZA Discussion Paper no.2843*.
- Gagliarducci, Stefano, Tommaso Nannicini, and Paolo Naticchioni. 2008. "Outside Income and Moral Hazard: The Elusive Quest for Good Politicians." *IZA Discussion Paper Series*, no. 3295.
- Hahn, J., P. Todd, and W. Van der Klaauw. 2001. "Identification and Estimation of Treatment Effects with a Regression Discontinuity Design." *Econometrica* 69:201–209.
- Imbens, Guido W., and Thomas Lemieux. 2008. "Regression Discontinuity Designs: A Guide to Practice." *Journal of Econometrics* 142 (2): 611–850.

- Jeydel, Alana, and Andrew J. Taylor. 2003. "Are Women Legislators Less Effective? Evidence from the U.S. House in the 103rd-105th Congress." *Political Research Quarterly* 56 (1): 19–27.
- Jones, Ben, and Ben Olken. 2005. "Do Leaders Matter? National Leadership and Growth since World War II." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 120 (3): 835–864 (August).
- Keane, Michael P., and Antonio Merlo. 2007. "Money, Political Ambition, and the Career Decisions of Politicians." *PIER Working Paper 07-016*.
- Knack, Stephen, and Philip Keefer. 1995. "Institutions and Economic Performance: Cross-Country Tests Using Alternative Institutional Measures." *Economics and Politics* 7 (3): 207–227.
- Leal, Victor Nunes. 1975. *Coronelismo, Enxada e Voto*. São Paulo: Alfa-Ômega.
- Lee, David. 2008. "Randomized Experiments from Non-random Selection in U.S. House Elections." *Journal of Econometrics* 142 (2): 675–697.
- Lee, D.S., E. Moretti, and M. Butler. 2004. "Do Voters Affect or Elect Policies? Evidence from the U.S. House." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119:807–859.
- Lopez, Felix G. 2004. "A Política Cotidiana dos Vereadores e as Relações entre Executivo e Legislativo am Âmbito Municipal: o Caso do Município de Araruama." *Revista de Sociologia Política* 22:153–177.
- Matozzi, Andrea, and Antonio Merlo. 2007. "Political Careers or Career Politicians?" *PIER Working Paper 07-009*.
- Melo, Marcus. 2005. "Instituições Orçamentárias Municipais e o Processo Legislativo em Recife-1988-2000." In *Desenho Institucional e Participação Política*, edited by Catia Lubambo, Denilson B. Coêlho, and Marcus A. Melo. Petrópolis: Editora Vozes.
- Messner, Matthias, and Matthias Polborn. 2004. "Paying Politicians." *Journal of Public Economics* 88:2423–2445.
- North, Douglas. 1981. *Structure and Change in Economic History*. New York: Norton.
- Osborne, Martin J., and Al Slivinski. 1996. "A Model of Political Competition with Citizen-Candidates." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 88:2423–2445.
- Pereira, Carlos, and Bernardo Mueller. 2002. "Comportamento Estrategico em Presidencialismo de Coalizao." *Dados* 45 (2): 265–301.
- Persson, Torsten, and Guido Tabellini. 2000. *Political Economics: Explaining Economic Policy*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Smart, Michael, and Daniel M. Sturm. 2006. "Term Limits and Electoral Accountability." *CEPR Discussion Paper 4272*, July.

- Van Der Klaauw, W. 2002. "Estimating the Effect of Financial Aid Offers on College Enrollment: A Regression Discontinuity Approach." *International Economic Review* 43:1249–1287.
- Weingast, Barry R., and William J. Marshall. 1988. "The Industrial Organization of Congress; or, Why Legislatures, Like Firms, Are Not Organized as Markets." *Journal of Political Economy* 96 (1): 132–163.
- Weiss, Andrew. 1980. "Job Queues and Layoffs in the Labor Markets with Flexible Wages." *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 88.
- Witman, Donald A. 1977. "Candidates with Policy Preferences: A Dynamic Model." *Journal of Economic Theory* 14 (1): 180–89.

Appendix A: Data Sources

The data used in the paper comes from a variety of sources. The data is at the level of the municipality, the lowest government unit below a state in Brazil. The main data source is the legislative census collected during 2005 by the Interlegis, a branch of Brazil's senate. Although Brazil's had 5,564 municipalities recorded as of 2005 by Brazil's Statistical Office (IBGE), the legislative census only recorded information from 5,414 municipalities. Next, we describe the source of each variable used in the analysis.

Legislature characteristics: Characteristics of the legislature come from the 2005 legislative census. Next to the answers to the questions on the wages there was a question to whether the person answering the question was sure about the wages. We restricted our analysis to answers where the informant was sure about the wage and removed some remaining outliers that represented less than 1 percent of the sample. The variables used in the analysis are as follows: *Legislator's salary* – monthly salary paid to local legislators, expressed in Reais; *Number of legislators* – the size of the legislature in 2005; *Weekly hours* – the number of hours per week the legislature is open; *Assistants per legislator* – the average number of assistants each legislator has; *Bills submitted per legislator* – the number of bills submitted in 2005 divided by the size of the legislature; *Bills approved per legislator* – the number of bills approved divided by the size of the legislature; *Functioning Commission* – an indicator variable for whether the legislature has a commission that functions; *% female legislator* – the number of female legislators divided by the size of the legislature; *% legislators age < 40* – share of the legislature that is less than 40 years old; *% legislators age 40 – 49* – share of the legislature that is between 40 – 49 years old; *% legislators age > 49* – share of the legislature that is older than 40 years old; *% legislators in 1-2 mandate* – share of the legislature with 1-2 terms of experience; *% legislators in 3-7 mandate* – share of the legislature with 3-7 terms of experience; *Years of schooling* – the average years of schooling of legislators.

Politician's Characteristics: the Tribunal Superior Eleitoral (TSE) provides basic demographic information on each candidate that ran in the 2004 municipal elections. These data is available at www.tse.gov.br. We use this information to create the following municipal level indicators: *Number of candidates per seat* – the number of candidates that ran for local legislator divided by the size of the legislature; *Age* – the average age of the candidates that ran for office in 2004; *% female* – the share of candidates that were female; *Years of Schooling* – the average years of schooling for the candidates.

Municipal demographic characteristics: Demographic characteristics of the municipality come from 2000 population census, available at IBGE (www.ibge.gov.br). The estimate for the 2003 population was obtained from the IBGE inter-census population estimates. The variables used in the analysis are: *% Urban population* – the number of inhabitants that live in urban areas divided by the population; *Gini coefficient* – income inequality based on household income in 2000; *Literacy Rate* – share of the population that is literate; *% households with electricity* – share of households

with access to electricity; *Household income per capita* – Total household income divided by the number of persons residing in the household.

Municipal institutional and public management characteristics: the 2002 and 2005 surveys of the *Perfil dos Municípios Brasileiros: Gestão Pública* provide information on various aspects of the public administration, such as budgetary and planning procedures, the number of public employees. It also provides us with structural features such as the existence of local radio and the presence of a judge and public prosecutors.

Municipal public finance information: the National Treasury (Secretaria do Tesouro) provides information of spending and revenues through the FINBRA dataset. It contains municipal spending by categories and revenues by sources (i.e. local taxes, intergovernmental transfers). See www.tesouro.com.br

Private sector wages: the RAIS provides information of public and private sector wages for all (formal) firms in Brazil. We use this data to construct a measure of the average wage of private sector employees in municipalities.

School data: information on the number of primary schools (Ensino fundamental), their characteristics (whether they have a science or computer lab), and their teacher's characteristics is available from Edudata (www.edudata.gov.br), based on yearly school census undertaken by INEP.

Health data: information on the number of clinics managed by the municipal government and the number of doctors was taken from the Cadastro Nacional de Estabelecimentos de Saúde (CNES). The information is only available at www.datasus.gov.br for 2006. Data on the average number of medical visits for 2004 and 2006, was obtained from the Indicadores do Pacto de Atenção Básica 2006 and are available at www.datasus.gov.br.

Appendix B: Examples from Legislators' Webpages

Vereadora Ana Selma, Câmara Municipal de Cabo de Santo Agostinho, PE

<http://anaselma.blogspot.com/>

Vereadora Ana Selma visita Cidade Garapu

Na sexta-feira passada, 22, Ana Selma e equipe estiveram, mais uma vez, em visita ao Loteamento Cidade Garapu. Na oportunidade, foram distribuídos panfletos, cujo teor tem o objetivo de prestar contas aos moradores da localidade sobre as iniciativas da Vereadora nos últimos três anos. Presença constante no Loteamento Cidade Garapu, a Vereadora Ana Selma tem se colocado ao lado dos moradores na luta por melhorias para o Bairro, realizando visitas aos moradores; Gabinete na Rua e reuniões com lideranças e representantes de entidades.

Veja algumas das iniciativas da Vereadora na Câmara para Garapu:

- Indicação solicitando a construção de uma **Escola de Ensino Fundamental e Educação Infantil**;
- Indicação solicitando providências para instalação de um **Posto do Programa Saúde da Família - PSF**;
- Indicação solicitando a **intensificação de rondas policiais** no Loteamento Cidade Garapu e adjacências;
- Indicação solicitando a **instalação de abrigos para a espera do transporte coletivo**;

Vereador Romério, Câmara Municipal de Resende, RJ

<http://www.vereadorromerio.blogspot.com/>

Luta por melhor qualidade na educação

Ao longo do seu mandato, Romério, conseguiu a aprovação de diversas indicações que trariam mais qualidade à Educação Pública no Município, dentre elas temos:

- A **aquisição de jornais e revistas** para as bibliotecas das escolas;
- **Implantação do ensino de informática** desde a 5 série até o 3 ano do ensino médio;
- **Construção de laboratórios de ciências e informática** nas escolas, entre outras.

Infelizmente, nada foi feito pelo Poder Executivo, neste sentido, mas Romério garante continuar lutando para diminuir a distância entre o ensino público e o particular.


Vereador José Damaso, Câmara Municipal de Palmas, TO

<http://damasovereador.blogspot.com>


Este é o blog do vereador Damaso. Aqui você vai encontrar as notícias sobre seu mandato e suas ações em benefício da população palmense.

A exemplo do que ocorreu em 2005, nessa legislatura na Câmara Municipal de Palmas, o vereador Damaso (PDT) já apresentou diversos requerimentos que levam benefícios para a região Sul de Palmas:


- O vereador também apresentou requerimento que solicita a **construção de salas** para alunos com necessidades especiais na área disponível da Escola Municipal Tiago Barbosa.
- Em outra propositura, o parlamentar solicitou a **implantação de um posto da Polícia Comunitária** no setor Taquari.
- Em requerimento apresentado nesta quarta-feira, na Câmara Municipal de Palmas, o vereador Damaso (PDT) solicitou a **abertura de um ambulatório médico** em Taquaralto.



Panel A: Incentive Compatibility Constraint




Panel B: Public Good Provision and Effort



Panel C: : Participation Constraint

FIGURE 1: OUTCOMES OF THE MODEL

Notes: Figure shows the equilibrium outcomes of the model under the following functional form assumptions. Politician's utility: $U^p = w_p + \ln(\theta + e) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{e}{\theta}\right)^2$; Utility in the private sector: $U^m = \alpha + \beta\theta + \ln(\theta^* + e^*)$; Public Goods: $g = \theta + e$. In Panels A and C, the y-axis is the utility that an individual will receive in each sector. For the public sector, the utility is computed assuming that the individual will exert enough effort to get re-elected. For the private sector, utility is computed for the expected amount of public goods that a randomly selected challenger will provide.



Panel A: Effects of Wages on Entry

Panel B: Effects of Wages on Selection

Panel C: Effects of Wages on Performance

FIGURE 2: THE EFFECTS OF A WAGE INCREASE ON POLITICAL SELECTION AND PERFORMANCE

Notes: Figure shows the equilibrium outcomes of the model under the following functional form assumptions. Politician's utility: $U^p = w_p + \ln(\theta + e) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{e}{\theta}\right)^2$; Utility in the private sector: $U^m = \alpha + \beta\theta + \ln(\theta^* + e^*)$; Public Goods: $g = \theta + e$. In Panels A and C, the y-axis is the utility that an individual will receive in each sector. For the public sector, the utility is computed assuming that the individual will exert enough effort to get re-elected. For the private sector, utility is computed for the expected amount of public goods that a randomly selected challenger will provide.




FIGURE 3: DISTRIBUTION OF LEGISLATORS' SALARIES

Notes: Figure shows the distribution of legislators' salaries for each municipality in our sample. The vertical lines denote the maximum amount a municipality can offer its politicians for the various population thresholds.




FIGURE 4: DISTRIBUTION OF 2003 POPULATION

Notes: Figure shows the distribution of the population of each municipality in our sample for the first cutoff points. The vertical lines denote the cutoff points.








FIGURE 5: LEGISLATORS' SALARIES BY POPULATION


Notes: Figure shows legislators' salaries by population. Each figure presents the mean wage for a bin size of 200 inhabitants (hollow-circles) along with a locally weighted regression calculated within each population segment with a bandwidth of 0.5. The vertical lines denote the various cutoff points.




Income per capita (log)



Private Sector Wages (logs)



Gini Coefficient



Literacy Rates

FIGURE 6: MUNICIPAL CHARACTERISTICS BY POPULATION

Notes: The figure shows municipal characteristics by population. Each figure presents the mean of the municipal characteristic for a bin size of 200 inhabitants (hollow-circles) along with a locally weighted regression calculated within each population segment with a bandwidth of 0.5. The vertical lines denote the various cutoff points.

Table 1. Constitutional Amendment No. 25, 2000

Population bracket	Cap on salary as a percentage of state legislators salary	Value of maximum allowed salary in 2004	Cap on legislative spending as a proportion of revenues	Average legislative spending as a proportion of revenues	Cap on salary spending as a proportion of legislative spending
0 to 10,000	20%	1927.1	8%	3.6%	75%
10,001 to 50,000	30%	2890.6	8%	3.0%	75%
50,001 to 100,000	40%	3854.2	8%	2.8%	75%
100,001 to 300,000	50%	4817.7	7%	2.6%	75%
300,001 to 500,000	60%	5781.2	6%	2.7%	75%
500,000 plus	75%	7226.6	5%	2.6%	75%

Notes: The population brackets and the caps on the salaries are defined by the Constitutional Amendment No. 25, 2000. The approximate salaries in 2004 are calculated based on the salary of Federal Deputies of R\$ 12,847.2. The maximum legislative spending is defined as a proportion of revenues, defined as the sum of tax revenues and intergovernmental transfers in the previous year.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	S.D.	Quantiles				
			0.10	0.25	0.50	0.75	0.90
<u>Legislature characteristics:</u>							
Number legislators	9.26	1.45	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
Legislator wages	1740.03	1116.50	750.00	950.00	1400.00	2300.00	2950.00
Weekly hours	10.71	7.58	4.00	8.00	8.00	12.00	20.00
Assistants per legislator	0.51	0.78	0.00	0.11	0.33	0.56	1.00
Bills submitted per legislator	0.91	2.05	0.00	0.11	0.33	0.89	2.11
Bills approved per legislator	0.73	1.44	0.00	0.11	0.33	0.78	1.67
Functioning commissions (1/0)	0.86	0.35	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
<u>Legislators Characteristics:</u>							
% female legislators	12.78	11.69	0.00	0.00	11.11	22.22	30.00
% legislators aged <40	35.68	24.22	0.00	22.22	33.33	55.56	66.67
% legislators aged 40-49	40.78	23.58	0.00	22.22	44.44	55.56	66.67
% legislators aged >49	16.92	17.04	0.00	0.00	11.11	26.67	44.44
% legislators in 1-2 mandate	71.91	22.53	44.44	55.56	77.78	88.89	100.00
% legislators in 3-7 mandate	23.47	18.83	0.00	11.11	22.22	33.33	44.44
Years of schooling	9.77	3.52	5.56	8.36	10.61	12.11	13.17
<u>Candidates Characteristics:</u>							
Number of candidates per seat	6.24	3.81	2.56	3.44	5.11	7.90	11.56
Age	42.52	2.38	39.46	40.93	42.57	44.16	45.48
% female	20.57	93.33	28.72	25.18	21.05	16.22	11.54
Years of schooling	9.08	1.56	7.02	8.00	9.13	10.22	11.08
<u>Municipal Characteristics:</u>							
Average municipal wages	655.32	201.68	449.65	522.44	623.49	744.32	879.70
Population in 2003 (1000s)	26.45	108.29	3.04	4.98	10.24	21.40	46.54
% Urban population	60.74	24.34	27.80	41.84	61.18	80.06	92.22
Gini coefficient	0.56	0.06	0.49	0.52	0.56	0.60	0.63
Literacy rate	78.19	12.47	59.83	67.96	81.97	88.30	92.26
% households with electricity	86.86	16.56	60.56	80.65	94.49	98.82	99.71
Household income per capita	170.02	94.52	65.82	86.63	158.75	232.22	293.36
Revenues per capita	998.70	516.05	548.08	656.69	855.34	1174.91	1627.45
<u>School and health characteristics:</u>							
Number of schools	18.64	24.82	2.00	4.00	10.00	24.00	46.00
% schools with science lab	3.36	12.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25
% schools with computer lab	37.09	39.64	0.00	0.00	18.07	75.00	100.00
% teachers with college degree	48.83	28.67	7.40	25.00	50.00	72.20	87.20
Average number of doctor visits	1.91	1.35	0.72	1.18	1.70	2.37	3.25
Health clinics per 1000 persons	0.16	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.25	0.39
Doctors per 1000 persons	1.72	1.23	0.48	0.84	1.42	2.30	3.33

Notes: This table reports the mean political and socio-economic characteristics of the all the municipalities in our sample. Column 1 reports the mean of each variable and column 1 reports the standard deviation. The characteristics of the legislature are based on 2005 Brazilian Legislative Census (Censo do Legislativo). The candidate characteristics were constructed using data from Brazil's electoral commission (Tribunal Superior Eleitoral: <http://www.tse.gov.br/index.html>). The socio-economic characteristics were constructed using data from Brazil's statistical bureau (Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística: <http://www.ibge.gov.br>). For more information on the variables see the data appendix.

Table 3. The Effects of Politicians' Wages on Candidate Entry - OLS

Dependent variables:	Number Candidates per Seat		Average Years of Schooling		Share of candidates with less than a high school education		Share of candidates with at least a high school education		Share of male candidates		Average Age	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Log wages	2.976 [0.144]***	2.702 [0.123]***	0.834 [0.049]***	0.719 [0.043]***	-0.099 [0.005]***	-0.081 [0.005]***	0.098 [0.005]***	0.081 [0.005]***	-0.02 [0.002]***	-0.013 [0.002]***	0.646 [0.072]***	0.819 [0.074]***
Population (1/100000)	1.479 [0.317]***	1.107 [0.259]***	0.375 [0.062]***	0.184 [0.033]***	0 [0.005]***	-0.015 [0.003]***	0.029 [0.005]***	0.015 [0.003]***	-0.001 [0.001]	0.001 [0.001]	0 [0.000]	0.166 [0.055]***
Hours functioning legislature	0.014 [0.007]**	0.004 [0.006]	0.007 [0.003]***	0.005 [0.002]*	-0.001 [0.000]***	-0.001 [0.000]***	0.001 [0.000]***	0.001 [0.000]***	0 [0.000]*	0 [0.000]	-0.009 [0.004]**	-0.006 [0.004]
Assistants per legislator	0.584 [0.106]***	0.45 [0.094]***	0.245 [0.041]***	0.163 [0.032]***	-0.022 [0.004]***	-0.015 [0.003]***	0.022 [0.004]***	0.015 [0.003]***	-0.007 [0.001]***	-0.005 [0.001]***	0.102 [0.035]***	0.086 [0.036]**
Log income per capita		-0.697 [0.166]***		0.33 [0.084]***		-0.011 [0.009]		0.014 [0.009]		0.007 [0.004]*		0.733 [0.150]***
% urban population		4.154 [0.197]***		1.507 [0.099]***		-0.183 [0.011]***		0.18 [0.011]***		-0.076 [0.005]***		-0.641 [0.192]***
Gini		-0.861 [0.722]		1.407 [0.353]***		-0.189 [0.039]***		0.187 [0.038]***		-0.022 [0.018]		-0.988 [0.637]
% households with energy		-1.179 [0.286]***		1.237 [0.166]***		-0.081 [0.018]***		0.079 [0.017]***		0.005 [0.009]		1.434 [0.309]***
% literate		5.781 [0.644]***		0.772 [0.349]**		-0.043 [0.037]		0.048 [0.037]		0.008 [0.018]		1.139 [0.608]*
Log (wages municipality)		1.322 [0.193]***		-0.03 [0.088]		0.009 [0.010]		-0.011 [0.010]		-0.007 [0.005]		-0.744 [0.167]***
Observations	4891	4891	4889	4889	4891	4891	4891	4891	4891	4891	4889	4889
R-squared	0.44	0.54	0.2	0.38	0.21	0.31	0.21	0.32	0.05	0.1	0.04	0.1

Notes: This table reports the OLS estimates for the effects of wages on the characteristics of those that ran for legislature in the 2004 elections. * indicates statistical significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level. Robust standard errors are reported in brackets.

Table 4. First-Stage Results

Dependent variable	Log wages		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
$1\{x>10,000\}$	0.208 [0.019]***	0.213 [0.019]***	0.213 [0.019]***
$1\{x>50,000\}$	-0.055 [0.037]	-0.027 [0.035]	0.019 [0.029]
$1\{x>100,000\}$	0.113 [0.063]*	0.127 [0.061]**	0.231 [0.033]***
$1\{x>300,000\}$	0.024 [0.079]	0.048 [0.084]	0.142 [0.057]**
$1\{x>500,000\}$	0.142 [0.214]	0.102 [0.227]	0.141 [0.086]
x	4.932 [0.275]***	4.406 [0.277]***	4.406 [0.277]***
$(x-10,000)*1\{x>10,000\}$	-3.054 [0.286]***	-2.669 [0.287]***	-2.672 [0.287]***
$(x-50,000)*1\{x>50,000\}$	-1.558 [0.150]***	-1.477 [0.144]***	-1.715 [0.081]***
$(x-100,000)*1\{x>100,000\}$	-0.229 [0.132]*	-0.195 [0.127]	
$(x-300,000)*1\{x>300,000\}$	-0.006 [0.133]	0.013 [0.143]	
$(x-500,000)*1\{x>500,000\}$	-0.076 [0.127]	-0.071 [0.138]	
Municipal characteristics	No	Yes	Yes
Observations	4894	4894	4894
R-squared	0.67	0.68	0.68
F-test	29.10	29.72	39.00
(P-values)	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.00]

Notes: This table reports the OLS estimate of the effects of the population cutoff on log wages. The running variable x refers to the population in 2003. All regressions control for the number of hours the legislature functions per week and assistants per legislator from the 2005 Brazilian Legislative Census (Censo do Legislativo). Municipal Characteristics include Log household income per capita, % urban population, Gini coefficient, % households with energy, % literate population and Log average wages in municipality. All regressions control for log(average wage in private and public sector in municipality). * indicates statistical significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level. Robust standard errors are reported in brackets. The reported F-test refers to the cut-off indicators.

Table 5. The Effects of Politicians' Wages on Candidate Entry – TSLS

Dependent variable:	Total Number of Candidates per Seat		Average Years of Schooling		Share of candidates with less than a high school education		Share of candidates with at least a high school education		Share of male candidates		Average Age	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Log wages	1.463 [0.598]**	1.334 [0.547]**	0.619 [0.352]*	0.625 [0.318]**	-0.064 [0.037]*	-0.069 [0.035]**	0.066 [0.037]*	0.072 [0.035]**	-0.027 [0.017]	-0.029 [0.017]*	-0.988 [0.601]	-1.015 [0.586]*
F-test (exc. instruments)	29.66	29.56	29.44	29.35	29.66	29.56	29.66	29.56	29.66	29.56	29.44	29.35
Municipal characteristics	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	4891	4891	4889	4889	4891	4891	4891	4891	4891	4891	4889	4889

Notes: The table reports the IV estimates for the effects of wages on the characteristics of those that ran for legislature in the 2004 elections. All regressions control for the number of hours the legislature functions per week and assistants per legislator. The Log wages refer to legislators' wages from the 2005 Brazilian Legislative Census (Censo do Legislativo). Municipal Characteristics include Log household income per capita, % urban population, Gini coefficient, % households with energy, % literate population, log average wage in private and public sector in municipality, and a linear spline in population. * indicates statistical significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level. Robust standard errors are reported in brackets. The instruments used are the indicators for the cutoffs at $1\{x>10,000\}$, $1\{x>50,000\}$, $1\{x>100,000\}$, $1\{x>300,000\}$ and $1\{x>500,000\}$. The reported F-test refers to these excluded instruments.

Table 6. The Effects of Politicians' Wages on Candidate Selection

Dependent variable:	Average Number of Terms		Share of legislators with 1-2 terms		Share of legislators with 3-7 terms		Average Education		Share of legislators with less than high school		Share of legislators with at least high school		Share of female legislators		Average age	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
Panel A: IV estimates																
Log Wages	0.364 [0.153]**	0.395 [0.150]***	-0.067 [0.057]	-0.074 [0.056]	0.113 [0.045]**	0.124 [0.044]***	1.009 [0.857]	0.913 [0.836]	-0.114 [0.077]	-0.114 [0.074]	0.145 [0.076]*	0.141 [0.073]*	0.04 [0.031]	0.045 [0.031]	1.011 [2.847]	0.551 [2.787]
F-test (excl. instruments)	29.83	29.72	29.83	29.72	29.83	29.72	29.79	29.65	29.79	29.65	29.83	29.72	29.83	29.72	29.83	29.72
Panel B: OLS estimates																
Log Wages	0.176 [0.022]***	0.185 [0.025]***	-0.05 [0.007]***	-0.049 [0.008]***	0.051 [0.006]***	0.053 [0.007]***	0.805 [0.116]***	0.696 [0.118]***	-0.123 [0.010]***	-0.093 [0.009]***	0.113 [0.010]***	0.09 [0.010]***	-0.004 [0.003]	-0.009 [0.004]**	-0.892 [0.381]**	-0.521 [0.398]
R-squared	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.08	0.03	0.06	0.09	0.19	0.06	0.13	0	0.02	0	0.03
Municipal characteristics	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	4894	4894	4894	4894	4894	4894	4893	4893	4893	4893	4893	4893	4894	4894	4894	4894

Notes: The table reports the IV and OLS estimates for the effects of wages on the characteristics of those that were elected as legislators in the 2004 elections. All regressions control for the number of hours the legislature functions per week and assistants per legislator. The Log wages refer to legislators' wages from the 2005 Brazilian Legislative Census (Censo do Legislativo). Municipal Characteristics include Log household income per capita, % urban population, Gini coefficient, % households with energy, % literate population, log average wage in private and public sector in municipality, and a linear spline in population. * indicates statistical significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level. Robust standard errors are reported in brackets. The instruments used are the indicators for the cutoffs at $1\{x>10,000\}$, $1\{x>50,000\}$, $1\{x>100,000\}$, $1\{x>300,000\}$ and $1\{x>500,000\}$. The reported F-test refers to these excluded instruments.

Table 7. The Effects of Politicians' Wages on Legislative Productivity

Dependent variable:	Log Number of Bill Submitted		Log Number of Bill Approved		Share of Bills Approved		Functioning Commission	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Panel A: IV estimates								
Log Wages	0.466 [0.254]*	0.436 [0.251]*	0.495 [0.263]*	0.506 [0.264]*	0.275 [0.096]***	0.297 [0.099]***	0.208 [0.097]**	0.206 [0.098]**
F-test (exc. instruments)	27.18	26.63	25.29	24.51	20.62	19.91	29.83	29.72
Panel B: OLS estimates								
Log Wages	0.531 [0.044]***	0.502 [0.041]***	0.438 [0.035]***	0.411 [0.035]***	-0.022 [0.011]**	-0.023 [0.011]**	0.101 [0.011]***	0.09 [0.012]***
R-squared	0.18	0.24	0.15	0.18	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.03
Municipal characteristics	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	4471	4471	4216	4216	3392	3392	4894	4894

Notes: The table reports the IV and OLS estimates for the effects of wages on legislative productivity. The dependent variable in columns 1 and 2 is the log of one plus the number of bills submitted. The dependent variable in columns 3-4 is the log of one plus the number of bills approved. All regressions control for the number of hours the legislature functions per week and assistants per legislator. The Log wages refer to legislators' wages from the 2005 Brazilian Legislative Census (Censo do Legislativo). Municipal Characteristics include Log household income per capita, % urban population, Gini coefficient, % households with energy, % literate population, log average wage in private and public sector in municipality, and a linear spline in population. * indicates statistical significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level. Robust standard errors are reported in brackets. The instruments used are the indicators for the cutoffs at $1\{x>10,000\}$, $1\{x>50,000\}$, $1\{x>100,000\}$, $1\{x>300,000\}$ and $1\{x>500,000\}$. The reported F-test refers to these excluded instruments.

Table 8. The Effects of Wages on Provision of Public Goods

Dependent variable:	Education				Health		
	Change in number of schools	Change in share of schools with a science lab	Change in share of schools with a computer lab	Change in share of teachers with a college degree	Number of health clinics per capita (x1000)	Number of doctors per capita (x1000)	Change in the average number of doctor visits
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
<u>Panel A: IV estimates</u>							
Log Wages	2.186 [1.100]**	0.039 [0.020]**	0.106 [0.049]**	0.027 [0.050]	0.175 [0.052]***	0.642 [0.278]**	0.522 [0.236]**
F-test (exc. instruments)	26.21	26.21	26.21	24.52	27.31	28.59	30.23
<u>Panel B: OLS estimates</u>							
Log Wages	0.11 [0.209]	0.003 [0.004]	0.021 [0.009]**	0.019 [0.009]**	0.01 [0.009]	0.013 [0.051]	0.04 [0.040]
R-squared	0.07	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.31	0.01
Municipal characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	4542	4542	4542	3814	4015	4859	4875

Notes: The table reports the IV estimates for the effects of wages on provision of public goods. The dependent variables in columns 1-4 and 7 refer to the change from 2004-2006. The dependent variables in columns 5 and 6 are the levels in 2006. All regressions control for the number of hours the legislature functions per week and assistants per legislator. The Log wages refer to legislators' wages from the 2005 Brazilian Legislative Census (Censo do Legislativo). Municipal Characteristics include Log household income per capita, % urban population, Gini coefficient, % households with energy, % literate population, log average wage in private and public sector in municipality, and a linear spline in population. * indicates statistical significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level. Robust standard errors are reported in brackets. The instruments used are the indicators for the cutoffs at $1\{x>10,000\}$, $1\{x>50,000\}$, $1\{x>100,000\}$, $1\{x>300,000\}$ and $1\{x>500,000\}$. The reported F-test refers to these excluded instruments.

Table 9. The Effects of Wages and Legislators' Characteristics on Legislative Performance

	Log number of Bill Submitted	Log number of Bill Approved	Share of Bills Approved	Functioning Commission	Change in number of schools	Change in share of schools with a science lab	Change in share of schools with a computer lab	Change in share of teachers with a college degree	Number of health clinics per capita (x1000)	Number of doctors per capita (x1000)	Change in the average number of doctor visits
Dependent variable:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Log Wages	0.389 [0.253]	0.466 [0.266]*	0.299 [0.099]***	0.219 [0.100]**	2.186 [1.105]**	0.04 [0.020]**	0.113 [0.050]**	0.031 [0.050]	0.179 [0.053]***	0.573 [0.280]**	0.542 [0.240]**
Share of legislator with 3 or more terms	0.142 [0.095]	0.09 [0.098]	-0.033 [0.030]	-0.113 [0.032]***	0.571 [0.428]	-0.011 [0.008]	-0.024 [0.015]	-0.039 [0.017]**	-0.023 [0.019]	0.257 [0.097]***	-0.262 [0.079]***
Share of female legislators	-0.345 [0.119]***	-0.334 [0.132]**	-0.029 [0.048]	-0.006 [0.044]	-0.389 [0.525]	-0.009 [0.011]	0.011 [0.023]	-0.012 [0.025]	-0.018 [0.025]	-0.281 [0.123]**	0.019 [0.114]
Share of legislator with at least high school	0.231 [0.053]***	0.217 [0.053]***	0.015 [0.019]	0.012 [0.019]	0.059 [0.251]	0.001 [0.005]	-0.019 [0.010]*	0.006 [0.011]	-0.003 [0.010]	0.287 [0.053]***	0.075 [0.049]
Average age of legislators	-0.001 [0.001]	-0.004 [0.002]**	0.00 [0.000]	0.00 [0.000]	-0.022 [0.010]**	0.00 [0.000]	0.00 [0.000]	0.00 [0.000]	0.00 [0.000]	0.002 [0.001]*	0.001 [0.001]
Municipal characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	4471	4216	3392	4894	4542	4542	4542	3814	4015	4859	4875

Notes: The table reports the IV estimates for the effects of wages and legislators' characteristics on legislative productivity and provision of public goods. The dependent variables in columns 1-4 and 7 refer to the change from 2004-2006. The dependent variables in columns 5 and 6 are the levels in 2006. All regressions control for the number of hours the legislature functions per week and assistants per legislator. The Log wages refer to legislators' wages from the 2005 Brazilian Legislative Census (Censo do Legislativo). Municipal Characteristics include Log household income per capita, % urban population, Gini coefficient, % households with energy, % literate population, log average wage in private and public sector in municipality, and a linear spline in population. * indicates statistical significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level. Robust standard errors are reported in brackets. The instruments used are the indicators for the cutoffs at $1\{x>10,000\}$, $1\{x>50,000\}$, $1\{x>100,000\}$, $1\{x>300,000\}$ and $1\{x>500,000\}$. The reported F-test refers to these excluded instruments.

Table 10. The Effects of Wages and Legislators' Characteristics on Legislative Productivity by Political Competition

Dependent variable:	Log Number of Bill Submitted		Log number of Bill Approved		Share of Bills Approved		Functioning Commission	
	25%	75%	25%	75%	25%	75%	25%	75%
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Log Wages	0.325 [0.838]	0.89 [0.461]*	0.103 [0.820]	1.038 [0.517]**	0.333 [0.311]	0.439 [0.176]**	0.352 [0.301]	0.135 [0.171]
Share of legislator with 3 or more terms	0 [0.210]	0.103 [0.177]	-0.046 [0.193]	-0.084 [0.177]	-0.06 [0.076]	-0.028 [0.065]	-0.138 [0.078]*	-0.072 [0.058]
Share of female legislators	-0.777 [0.243]***	-0.476 [0.247]*	-0.79 [0.259]***	-0.446 [0.286]	-0.14 [0.110]	0.113 [0.112]	0.023 [0.101]	0.03 [0.080]
Share of legislator with at least high school	0.26 [0.114]**	0.276 [0.109]**	0.307 [0.118]***	0.208 [0.104]**	0.051 [0.046]	0.011 [0.039]	0.012 [0.046]	0.026 [0.033]
Average age of legislators	-0.004 [0.003]	-0.001 [0.004]	-0.008 [0.003]**	-0.004 [0.003]	0 [0.001]	0 [0.001]	-0.001 [0.001]	-0.002 [0.001]***
Municipal characteristics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	1040	1110	977	1056	744	894	1176	1193

Notes: The table reports the IV estimates for the effects of wages on legislative productivity controlling for the characteristics of the legislators. The columns denoted by 25% estimate the results for the sample of municipalities in the bottom quartile of political competition, as measured by the effective number of political parties that participated in the 2000 elections. The columns denoted by 75% estimate the results for the sample of municipalities in the upper quartile of political competition. The dependent variable in columns 1 and 2 is the log of one plus the number of bills submitted. The dependent variable in columns 3-4 is the log of one plus the number of bills approved. All regressions control for the number of hours the legislature functions per week and assistants per legislator. The Log wages refer to legislators' wages from the 2005 Brazilian Legislative Census (Censo do Legislativo). Municipal Characteristics include Log household income per capita, % urban population, Gini coefficient, % households with energy, % literate population, log average wage in private and public sector in municipality, and a linear spline in population. * indicates statistical significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level. Robust standard errors are reported in brackets. The instruments used are the indicators for the cutoffs at $1\{x>10,000\}$, $1\{x>50,000\}$, $1\{x>100,000\}$, $1\{x>300,000\}$ and $1\{x>500,000\}$. The reported F-test refers to these excluded instruments.

Table 11. Robustness Test – Smoothness

Dependent variable	Log private Sector Wages	Log income per capita	Gini Coefficient	% urban population	% households with electricity	% households with water	Literacy rate	Years of schooling mayor	Age of mayor	Mayor in second term	Male mayor	% population secondary education	Number of assistants per legislator	Hours legislative functions per week
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
1{x>10,000}	0.011	0.02	-0.004	-0.016	0.004	-0.69	0.004	-0.088	-0.271	0.027	-0.017	0.21	-0.016	-0.626
	[0.034]	[0.033]	[0.003]	[0.013]	[0.010]	[1.735]	[0.007]	[0.257]	[0.568]	[0.026]	[0.016]	[0.430]	[0.022]	[0.379]*
1{x>50,000}	-0.078	0.014	-0.018	-0.022	0.002	2.713	0.005	-0.528	1.863	0.045	0.006	-0.362	0.094	-1.353
	[0.033]**	[0.076]	[0.007]**	[0.026]	[0.020]	[3.585]	[0.016]	[0.435]	[1.322]	[0.059]	[0.037]	[1.271]	[0.193]	[1.150]
1{x>100,000}	-0.004	-0.001	-0.008	0.01	-0.023	1.083	-0.01	1.416	3.988	-0.092	-0.002	1.082	0.023	-1.754
	[0.056]	[0.101]	[0.011]	[0.029]	[0.020]	[4.312]	[0.018]	[0.664]**	[1.919]**	[0.098]	[0.043]	[1.740]	[0.394]	[2.327]
1{x>300,000}	-0.018	0.059	-0.022	-0.005	-0.004	7.759	0.024	-0.21	2.072	-0.212	0.066	-0.448	-0.28	4.713
	[0.093]	[0.182]	[0.019]	[0.023]	[0.010]	[3.676]**	[0.016]	[1.947]	[3.828]	[0.161]	[0.061]	[4.201]	[0.873]	[6.705]
1{x>500,000}	-0.095	-0.174	0.033	0.045	0.003	0.291	-0.008	-2.47	3.203	-0.565	0.186	-5.675	-1.969	6.034
	[0.263]	[0.448]	[0.038]	[0.035]	[0.006]	[5.882]	[0.032]	[3.061]	[9.329]	[0.471]	[0.190]	[9.689]	[1.950]	[12.773]
x	1.898	-2.895	0.478	0.712	-0.708	-178.844	-0.832	16.838	17.494	-1.356	0.274	-11.922	1.865	17.788
	[0.679]***	[0.474]***	[0.050]***	[0.202]***	[0.151]***	[25.983]***	[0.104]***	[4.087]***	[8.862]**	[0.410]***	[0.239]	[6.027]**	[0.282]***	[5.320]***
(x-10,000)*1{x>10,000}	-1.558	3.618	-0.414	-0.189	0.819	194.57	0.918	-10.474	-14.26	1.386	-0.35	32.675	-0.462	-7.685
	[0.683]**	[0.494]***	[0.052]***	[0.208]	[0.155]***	[26.861]***	[0.108]***	[4.184]**	[9.165]	[0.423]***	[0.249]	[6.412]***	[0.316]	[5.618]
(x-50,000)*1{x>50,000}	0.115	0.068	-0.083	-0.234	0.099	15.169	0.122	-7.041	-7.321	0.158	0.229	-0.646	-0.519	-0.757
	[0.136]	[0.286]	[0.028]***	[0.091]**	[0.071]	[13.316]	[0.057]**	[1.771]***	[5.272]	[0.238]	[0.129]*	[4.674]	[0.778]	[5.281]
(x-100,000)*1{x>100,000}	-0.403	-0.714	0.021	-0.254	-0.191	-29.145	-0.197	0.467	2.151	-0.193	-0.17	-17.891	-0.558	-6.598
	[0.122]***	[0.258]***	[0.026]	[0.077]***	[0.061]***	[11.741]**	[0.049]***	[1.602]	[4.909]	[0.226]	[0.115]	[4.326]***	[0.858]	[5.328]
(x-300,000)*1{x>300,000}	0.057	0.097	0.01	-0.045	-0.02	-5.553	-0.016	1.484	1.724	0.371	-0.077	4.991	0.03	-3.721
	[0.150]	[0.291]	[0.023]	[0.027]	[0.011]*	[3.945]	[0.020]	[2.311]	[5.234]	[0.273]	[0.107]	[6.554]	[1.262]	[8.715]
(x-500,000)*1{x>500,000}	-0.103	-0.161	-0.009	0.009	0.001	3.474	0.005	-1.226	-0.035	-0.367	0.094	-6.481	-0.378	0.061
	[0.144]	[0.285]	[0.021]	[0.022]	[0.004]	[3.006]	[0.017]	[2.263]	[5.108]	[0.263]	[0.099]	[6.433]	[1.201]	[8.542]
Observations	4894	4894	4894	4894	4894	4894	4894	4464	4894	4894	4894	4894	4894	4894
R-squared	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.01	0.01	0	0.28	0.2	0.08
F-test	1.28	0.24	2.00	0.98	0.46	1.25	0.88	1.86	1.06	0.93	0.69	0.6	0.29	0.88
(P-values)	0.26	0.94	0.08	0.42	0.81	0.28	0.49	0.11	0.38	0.46	0.63	0.70	0.92	0.49

Notes: the dependent variable is the log os legislators' wages. The running variable x refers to the population in 2003. All regressions control for the number of hours the legislature functions per week and assistants per legislator from the 2005 Brazilian Legislative Census (Censo do Legislativo). * indicates statistical significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level. Robust standard errors are reported in brackets. The reported F-test refers to the cut-off indicators.

Table 12. Robustness Test – Functional form assumption

	Linear spline on population			Flexible polynomial on population (quartic)			
	Flexible control for municipal characteristics	Flexible control for federal block grant	Control for council size	Salary Cap as Instrument	Full Sample	5 percent sample	10 percent sample
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Panel A: Entry							
Number of Candidates per Seat	1.582 [0.529]***	2.574 [0.727]***	1.14 [0.548]**	3.517 [0.272]***	3.758 [0.223]***	4.837 [1.120]*** (499)	4.214 [0.957]*** (719)
Average Years of Schooling	0.648 [0.302]**	0.782 [0.395]**	0.543 [0.324]*	1.21 [0.119]***	1.357 [0.096]***	1.088 [0.395]*** (499)	1.391 [0.334]*** (719)
Less than a high school education	-0.069 [0.033]**	-0.086 [0.043]**	-0.059 [0.036]*	-0.129 [0.013]***	-0.144 [0.010]***	-0.114 [0.044]*** (499)	-0.134 [0.036]*** (719)
At least a high school education	0.076 [0.033]**	0.086 [0.043]**	0.062 [0.035]*	0.123 [0.013]***	0.137 [0.010]***	0.118 [0.045]*** (499)	0.139 [0.037]*** (719)
Share of male candidates	-0.028 [0.016]*	-0.024 [0.020]	-0.027 [0.017]	-0.019 [0.006]***	-0.02 [0.005]***	-0.019 [0.019] (499)	-0.008 [0.016] (719)
Average Age	-0.738 [0.567]	-1.542 [0.720]**	-1.02 [0.597]*	1.309 [0.209]***	1.527 [0.169]***	0.153 [0.656] (499)	0.321 [0.545] (719)
Panel B: Selection							
Average Number of Terms	0.382 [0.147]***	0.301 [0.180]*	0.334 [0.151]**	0.213 [0.065]***	0.233 [0.060]***	0.256 [0.256] (499)	0.357 [0.220] (719)
% Legislators with 1-2 terms of tenure	-0.078 [0.055]	-0.04 [0.068]	-0.083 [0.057]	-0.03 [0.021]	-0.034 [0.018]*	-0.078 [0.081] (499)	-0.109 [0.069] (719)
% legislators with 3-7 terms of tenure	0.122 [0.043]***	0.093 [0.053]*	0.116 [0.044]***	0.052 [0.017]***	0.053 [0.015]***	0.054 [0.064] (499)	0.09 [0.055] (719)
Average education	1.005 [0.818]	0.979 [1.038]	0.612 [0.847]	1.415 [0.376]***	1.777 [0.330]***	0.846 [1.946] (499)	1.876 [1.656] (719)
Share with less than high school	-0.105 [0.072]	-0.112 [0.091]	-0.101 [0.075]	-0.154 [0.027]***	-0.178 [0.021]***	-0.091 [0.097] (499)	-0.125 [0.081] (719)
Share with at least high school	0.141 [0.071]**	0.14 [0.091]	0.117 [0.074]	0.177 [0.030]***	0.209 [0.026]***	0.088 [0.143] (499)	0.174 [0.121] (719)
Share of female legislators	0.038 [0.030]	0.024 [0.039]	0.047 [0.032]	-0.009 [0.012]	-0.014 [0.010]	-0.047 [0.042] (499)	-0.054 [0.035] (719)
Average age	0.849 [2.738]	1.029 [3.555]	0.179 [2.810]	0.734 [1.231]	0.811 [1.056]	-0.257 [6.301] (499)	0.598 [5.118] (719)

Table 12. Robustness Test – Functional form assumption (continued...)

	Linear spline on population			Flexible polynomial on population (quartic)			
	Flexible control for municipal characteristics	Flexible control for federal block grant	Control for council size	Salary Cap as Instrument	Full Sample	5 percent sample	10 percent sample
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Panel C: legislative productivity							
Log number of bills submitted	0.455 [0.244]*	0.66 [0.324]**	0.367 [0.256]	0.475 [0.117]***	0.565 [0.102]***	0.577 [0.582] (467)	0.796 [0.484] (676)
Log number of bills approved	0.511 [0.257]**	0.704 [0.354]**	0.46 [0.269]*	0.62 [0.104]***	0.654 [0.084]***	1.202 [0.359]*** (442)	1.161 [0.303]*** (642)
Share of bills approved	0.279 [0.096]***	0.34 [0.129]***	0.315 [0.101]***	0.11 [0.040]***	0.058 [0.029]**	0.141 [0.110] (380)	0.111 [0.096] (552)
Functioning commission	0.19 [0.095]**	0.246 [0.119]**	0.19 [0.100]*	0.163 [0.035]***	0.174 [0.029]***	0.108 [0.122] (499)	0.133 [0.101] (719)
Panel D: Public goods provision							
Change in number of schools	1.918 [1.091]*	3.076 [1.437]**	1.853 [1.113]*	0.924 [0.532]*	0.92 [0.476]*	1.91 [2.880] (454)	0.982 [2.395] (656)
Change in share of schools with a science lab	0.038 [0.018]**	0.039 [0.020]*	0.039 [0.020]*	0.005 [0.006]	0.001 [0.005]	0.013 [0.017] (495)	0.004 [0.014] (710)
Change in share of schools with a computer lab	0.114 [0.046]**	0.115 [0.059]*	0.115 [0.050]**	0.01 [0.017]	-0.012 [0.014]	-0.061 [0.050] (495)	-0.015 [0.044] (710)
Number of health clinics per capita (x1000)	0.162 [0.051]***	0.127 [0.063]**	0.182 [0.053]***	-0.07 [0.017]***	-0.087 [0.014]***	0.005 [0.047] (464)	0.013 [0.041] (659)
Number of doctors per capita (x1000)	0.682 [0.269]**	0.412 [0.337]	0.648 [0.284]**	0.342 [0.101]***	0.275 [0.090]***	0.592 [0.456] (495)	0.415 [0.398] (715)
Change in the average number of doctor visits	0.489 [0.229]**	0.407 [0.290]	0.566 [0.241]**	0.329 [0.079]***	0.284 [0.067]***	0.327 [0.268] (499)	0.205 [0.222] (719)

Notes: All regressions control for the number of hours the legislature functions per week and assistants per legislator. The Log wages refer to legislators' wages from the 2005 Brazilian Legislative Census (Censo do Legislativo). Municipal Characteristics include Log household income per capita, % urban population, Gini coefficient, % households with energy, % literate population and Log average wages in municipality. All regressions control for log(average wage in private and public sector in municipality). Column 1 controls for a linear-spline in population and a 4th order polynomial in all of the municipal characteristics list above. Columns 2-4 controls for 4th order polynomial in population. * indicates statistical significance at the 10% level, ** at the 5% level and *** at the 1% level. Robust standard errors are reported in brackets. The instruments used are the indicators for the cutoffs at $1\{x>10,000\}$, $1\{x>50,000\}$, $1\{x>100,000\}$, $1\{x>300,000\}$ and $1\{x>500,000\}$. The reported F-test refers to these excluded instruments.

Table A1. Placebo Tests

	IV estimates	
	(1)	(2)
	2004 Elections	2000 Elections
Panel A: Candidate Characteristics		
Total Number of Candidates Per Seat	1.38 [0.548]**	0.194 [0.497]
Years of Education	0.621 [0.318]*	0.395 [0.321]
Share of candidates with less than high school	-0.069 [0.035]**	-0.045 [0.032]
Share of candidates with more than high school	0.071 [0.035]**	0.045 [0.032]
Share of male candidates	-0.029 [0.017]*	0.003 [0.017]
Age of candidates	-1.028 [0.586]*	-2.151 [1.525]
Panel B: Characteristics of elected legislators		
Years of Education	0.916 [0.835]	0.275 [0.474]
Share of candidates with less than high school	-0.112 [0.074]	-0.045 [0.032]
Share of candidates with more than high school	0.14 [0.073]*	0.045 [0.032]
Share of male candidates	0.045 [0.031]	-0.014 [0.029]
Age of candidates	0.702 [2.788]	-4.764 [3.066]
Panel C: Public goods provision		
	Changes from 2004 to 2006	Changes from 2001 to 2003
Change in number of schools	2.186 [1.100]**	0.477 [0.686]
Change in share of schools with a science lab	0.039 [0.020]**	-0.014 [0.151]
Change in share of schools with a computer lab	0.106 [0.049]**	0.201 [0.374]
Change in the average number of doctor visits	0.522 [0.236]**	0.068 [0.104]

Table A2. Reduced-form Regressions

	Total Number of Candidates	Share of candidates with at least a high school education	Average age of candidates	Average Number of Terms	Share of legislators with at least high school	Share of Bills Approved	Change in share of schools with a science lab	Change in share of schools with a computer lab	Number of health clinics per capita (x1000)	Number of doctors per capita (x1000)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
1{x>10,000}	1.092 [1.041]	0.012 [0.008]	-0.236 [0.132]*	0.067 [0.033]**	0.022 [0.016]	0.068 [0.022]***	0.008 [0.004]**	0.025 [0.011]**	0.045 [0.012]***	0.106 [0.059]*
1{x>50,000}	-7.578 [4.751]	-0.018 [0.015]	-0.044 [0.240]	-0.008 [0.104]	-0.078 [0.049]	0.045 [0.039]	0.003 [0.006]	0.029 [0.017]*	0.026 [0.015]*	0.01 [0.131]
1{x>100,000}	11.033 [6.849]	0.007 [0.020]	0.112 [0.308]	0.137 [0.138]	-0.045 [0.069]	0.099 [0.062]	0.009 [0.011]	0.033 [0.027]	-0.001 [0.019]	0.537 [0.289]*
1{x>300,000}	6.084 [18.104]	-0.018 [0.044]	0.508 [0.484]	0.286 [0.221]	-0.294 [0.195]	0.024 [0.118]	0.039 [0.033]	-0.015 [0.047]	-0.019 [0.025]	0.407 [0.515]
1{x>500,000}	-3.252 [38.962]	-0.061 [0.094]	0.131 [0.893]	-0.848 [0.676]	-0.381 [0.396]	-0.159 [0.296]	0.09 [0.036]**	0.082 [0.064]	0.055 [0.041]	0.473 [1.056]
Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
F-test on threshold indicators	2.02	1.13	0.87	2.06	1.49	2.56	2.2	2.18	3.71	1.48
P-value	0.07	0.34	0.50	0.07	0.19	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.19
Observations	4891	4891	4889	4894	4894	3392	4542	4542	4015	4859
R-squared	0.8	0.34	0.13	0.06	0.15	0.05	0	0.03	0.07	0.31

Notes: see other table notes.

Table A3. The Effects of the Block Grants on Outcomes

	Total Number of Candidates per Seat	Average Years of Schooling	Average Number of Terms	Average Education	Log number of Bill Submitted	Log number of Bill Approved	Change in number of schools	Change in share of schools with a science lab	Change in share of schools with a computer lab	Number of health clinics per capita (x1000)	Number of doctors per capita (x1000)	Change in the average number of doctor visits
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1{x>13584}	0.38 [0.336]	-0.003 [0.158]	-0.008 [0.076]	-0.33 [0.405]	-0.043 [0.136]	0 [0.140]	-0.594 [0.564]	0.001 [0.005]	0.015 [0.020]	0.025 [0.023]	0.11 [0.127]	-0.095 [0.111]
1{x>16980}	-0.436 [0.366]	0.054 [0.162]	-0.038 [0.081]	0.195 [0.454]	0.064 [0.145]	0.059 [0.143]	-0.707 [0.673]	0.003 [0.006]	0.012 [0.020]	-0.014 [0.022]	0.133 [0.119]	-0.05 [0.107]
1{x>23772}	-0.151 [0.390]	0.159 [0.158]	-0.054 [0.106]	0.872 [0.549]	-0.187 [0.147]	-0.023 [0.146]	-0.996 [0.974]	0.005 [0.008]	0.006 [0.022]	-0.002 [0.020]	-0.038 [0.126]	0.177 [0.115]
1{x>30564}	0.367 [0.542]	0.179 [0.190]	-0.119 [0.168]	1.266 [0.658]*	-0.199 [0.175]	-0.222 [0.168]	0.637 [1.302]	-0.001 [0.009]	-0.024 [0.022]	-0.026 [0.025]	-0.168 [0.169]	-0.043 [0.152]
1{x>44148}	0.069 [0.600]	0.269 [0.211]	-0.089 [0.261]	1.732 [0.748]**	0.113 [0.202]	0.131 [0.202]	2.319 [1.604]	0.003 [0.007]	-0.007 [0.022]	0.001 [0.028]	0.03 [0.196]	-0.06 [0.142]
Controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
F-test (excluded instruments)	0.91	0.57	0.18	1.86	0.72	0.7	0.96	0.17	0.45	0.74	0.57	0.79
P-value	0.47	0.72	0.97	0.10	0.61	0.62	0.44	0.97	0.82	0.60	0.72	0.56
Observations	1999	1999	2001	2001	1832	1721	1831	1984	1984	1841	1999	1993
R-squared	0.34	0.32	0.06	0.06	0.16	0.12	0.03	0	0.05	0.07	0.37	0.01

Notes: see other table notes.